RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Body mass index and trajectories of the cognition among Chinese middle and old-aged adults

Wei Zhang¹, Yanan Chen¹ and Na Chen^{1,2*}

Abstract

This study aims to investigate the association between trajectories of the cognition and body mass index (BMI) among Chinese middle and old-aged adults. A total of 5693 adults (age 45 +) whose cognitive score is higher than average at the baseline were included from China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS:2011–2015). Cognitive function was measured by Mini-mental state examination (MMSE) in Chinese version. The Group-based trajectory modeling (GBTM) was adopted to identify the potential heterogeneity of longitudinal changes over the past 5 years and to investigate the relationship between baseline BMI and trajectories of cognitive function. Three trajectories were identified in results: the slow decline (37.92%), the rapid decline (6.71%) and the stable function (55.37%). After controlling for other variables, underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²) was associated with the rapid and slow decline trajectories. Obesity (BMI > 28 kg/m²) was associated with the slow decline trajectory. High-risk people of cognitive decline can be screened by measuring BMI.

Keywords: Body mass index, Cognitive decline, Group-based trajectory modeling, CHARLS

Introduction

With the population aging, the research on cognitive impairment has been considered as a priority. Because cognitive impairment is closely related to dementia, which is the fifth leading cause of death globally and the troublesome disease with a heavy burden on both individuals and the society [1]. In addition, it is estimated that the number of dementia patients in China will increase to 30 million by 2050 [2]. Meanwhile, due to limited successful treatments of dementia and no effective medication for cognitive impairment [3], screening potential high-risk groups and effective prevention strategies are extremely important [4].

*Correspondence: chenna@njucm.edu.cn

¹ School of Health Economics and Management, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Research found that there was a significant association between BMI and cognitive impairment [5, 6]. However, the results were controversial due to different physiological characteristics. According to previous studies, it was found that being overweight but not obesity was associated with better cognitive function in Colombia, while there was no significant correlation between BMI and cognition in Korea^[7] and Caucasia^[8]. It is also reported that higher BMI had adverse effects on cognitive impairment among older adults (age 65+) in Spain [9]. Moreover, some studies have found that lower BMI was independently associated with the risk of moderate and severe cognitive impairment [10-12]. Furthermore, In the studies in China, some scholars found that higher BMI was a protective factor for cognitive function of older adults in the community [13]. When BMI was treated as a continuous variable, it was reported that the relationship between BMI and the risk of mild cognitive impairment was in a 'U' shape [14], indicating that



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

there might be a more complex relationship between BMI and cognitive function in China too. However, most of the previous studies were cross-sectional and examining the relationship between BMI and cognitive risk. Our study explored the relationship between BMI at baseline and cognitive trajectory, and attempted to use BMI as an observation variable to provide an effective and convenient screening method for high-risk groups with cognitive impairment.

Previous studies have shown that there are differences in cognitive trajectories among different countries. There were two cognitive trajectories in Korean people over 60 years old [15]. Four different cognitive trajectories were found in Chinese people over 80 years old [16]. Three different cognitive trajectories were found in adults over 55 years old in Spain, and the influencing factors were different in different trajectories [17]. There were three trajectories of cognition (rapid cognitive decline, slow decline and stable) among older adults (age 65+) in mainland China [18]. However, cognitive decline may have occurred earlier, the decline of cognitive function was closely related to the status of participants in their middle age [19]. Therefore, we used a relatively large national sample to include middle-aged people over the age of 45 to observe whether there is a similar cognitive decline in this population, so that we can take action as soon as possible.

Methods

Sample

Data were obtained from China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) [20], a nationally representative survey of Chinese adults over 45 years. The national baseline survey was conducted in 2011, and the respondents were followed up in 2013, 2015, and 2018. However, the 2018 Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score was not included because the questions on orientation and attention were changed in the 2018 cognitive assessment. Therefore, this study included data starting from 2011 (wave 1) with 17,708 respondents, 20,776 in 2013 (wave 2), to 23,470 in 2015 (wave 3). According to the purpose of this study, we formulated the inclusion criteria for subjects as follows: age \geq 45, sex, years of schooling, marital status, medical insurance, social activities, chronic diseases, physical activities, sleeping time, and their MMSE scores results. Based on these inclusion criteria, 5693 respondents were selected. Ethical approval for all the CHARLS waves was granted from the Institutional Review Board at Peking University. The IRB approval number for the main household survey, including anthropometrics, was IRB00001052-11,015; the IRB approval number for biomarker collection, was IRB00001052-11,014.

Cognitive assessment

The MMSE is widely used to assess cognitive function worldwide [21, 22]. The total cognition score ranges from 0 to 21, which includes the following items: the Telephone Interview of Cognitive Status (TICS-10) (orientation and attention, 5), word recall (episodic memory, 10), serial subtraction of 7 from 100(up to 5 times, 5), and figure drawing (visual spatial abilities, 1) [23]. In order to better observe the cognitive decline, individuals with above-average cognitive scores at baseline were included in the study [24]. The investigators of China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study performed the MMSE in each Wave, all of them were professionally trained to reduce systematic difference as much as possible.

Body mass index

Information about weight and height was collected at baseline as well as follow-up interviews. BMI was calculated by body weight (kg) divided by square of height (m²), height and weight comes from on-site measurements. Globally, the average BMI varies greatly among different countries [25, 26]. Using a lower BMI threshold than the WHO international standard or the improved Asian BMI standard to define overweight and obesity can better illustrate the current body mass index status of Chinese people [27, 28]. Refer to the Chinese criteria for adults, BMI categories were defined as underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–23.9 kg/m²), overweight (24–27.9 kg/m²), and obesity (≥ 28 kg/m²) [16].

Covariates

We included the following variables as covariates in this research: age, gender (1 = male, 2 = female), marital status (1 = married, 0 = unmarried), years of schooling, medical insurance (1 = have medical insurance, 0 = otherwise), social activities (1 = more than once a week, 0 = otherwise), chronic diseases(0 = none, 1 = less than three diseases, 2 = more than three diseases), physical activities(1 = more than once a week, 0 = otherwise), and sleeping time [29–31].

Data analysis

Trajectories of MMSE score were identified by Group based trajectory modeling (GBTM), a potential class growth model used to analyse the longitudinal data and explore the heterogeneity. The principle is to assume that there is heterogeneity, that is, there are several potential subgroups with different development trajectories or patterns in the population, and its purpose is to explore how many subgroups with different development trends are included in the population, and to determine the development trajectory of each subgroup[32]..

Finding the most suitable GBTM is an iterative process, including determining the optimal number of trajectory groups and identifying the structure of their trajectory groups. Many factors need to be considered in model selection, including statistical measures (the *p*-values of model parameters and the confidence intervals of trajectory estimates), visual inspection of predicted trajectories, Bayesian Information Criterion (a smaller BIC indicating a better model fit) and the average posterior probability (AvePP; above 0.7 indicated optimal fit).

The Stata Traj plug-in (Stata Corp., College Station, TX) was used to model the cognitive trajectories with a censored normal distribution [33]. The two-way linear prediction plots were also completed by Stata. All the statistical analyses were performed using Stata 15.1. Continuous variables were presented as mean (SD), while categorical variables were presented as percentages. χ^2 test or analysis of variance F-test was used to compare the data between different trajectory groups. Multivariate logit model was used to analyse the relationship between baseline BMI and trajectory of MMSE scores. The results were considered significant when *P*<0.05.

Results

Descriptive characteristics

At baseline, a total of 5693 middle and old-aged adults including 3042 men and 2651 women aged 45-90 years (mean age 57.07 years) were enrolled in this research. The mean MMSE score was 13.63 out of 21 (SD = 2.18). According to the Chinese criteria, 1352 (23.75%) participants were classified as obesity, 1596 (27.93%) participants were overweight, and 211 (3.71%) participants were underweight. Most participants were married. Nearly 90% of the participants received formal education, but less than half received more than six years of formal education. Few participants (5%) did not have medical insurance. Among the participants, 40.70% had social activities at least once a week. And 34.55% of participants did not suffer from chronic diseases. Nearly half of the participants did physical activities each week. The average sleeping time is 6.50 h (SD = 1.65). Baseline characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1.

Cognitive trajectory modeling

The fitting process was carried out from high-order single group to low-order multi-group (Table 2). The 4-group has the best Bayesian Information Criterion (2Δ BIC), the AvePP values are all more than 0.8. The proportion of subgroups within the group were > 5%. It was considered that the fourth group is the best model.

Therefore, three groups were identified in the threephase follow-up data (Fig. 1). (1) One group showed a stable and higher MMSE score (STABLE FUNCTION, 55.37%). The stable group was characterized by the fact that the MMSE score of three stages was basically unchanged from 14.71 to 13.75. (2) One group had a lower MMSE score in the baseline and then maintained a slow decline (SLOW DECLINE, 37.92%). The slow decline group was characterized by a steady decline in the MMSE score from 12.20 in the baseline to 10.54. (3) One group decreased rapidly throughout the process (RAPID DECLINE, 6.71%). The decreasing trend was very obvious in the rapid decline group, and the MMSE score decreased from 12.29 to 4.24 in 5 years.

As shown in Table 1, there was a significant relationship between BMI and trajectory groups (P < 0.01). Furthermore, there were significant differences among age, gender, marital status, years of schooling, social activities and MMSE scores and trajectory groups. Compared with the stable group, the average age of the slow decline group was slightly older (M = 58.29, SD = 8.11), and the figure in the rapid decline group was 60.91(SD = 9.76). The proportion of male and married adults (age 45 +) in the rapid decline group was slightly lower, but the number of people with lower years of schooling was larger. The baseline MMSE score of the stable group was the highest (M = 14.71, SD = 1.88), while the scores were similar in the slow decline group (M = 12.20, SD = 1.63) and the rapid decline trajectory group (M = 12.29, SD = 2.01).

Relationship between BMI and cognitive trajectories

Univariate logit regression analysis showed that compared with the stable group, the odds ratio of underweight in the rapid decline group was 2.830 and that in the slow decline group was 1.777. After adjusting for some demographic factors and healthy factors (age, gender, marital status, years of schooling, medical insurance, social activities, chronic diseases, physical activities, sleeping time), the correlation was still significant (rapid decline group: OR=2.238, 95% CI=1.346-3.721, slow decline group: OR=1.523, 95% CI=1.098-2.113). Compared with the stable group, obesity was significantly correlated with the decline of cognitive function in the slow decline group (OR=0.695, 95% CI=0.595-0.813) after demographic factors and healthy factors (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated cognitive trajectories of a nationally representative sample of 5693 Chinese middle and old-aged adults (age 45 +) who were followed up for three waves; we also explored the relationship between baseline BMI and cognitive trajectories. Our results showed that there were three different trajectories of

		TRAJECTORY GROUP				
CHARACTERISTIC	TOTAL SAMPLE (<i>n</i> = 5693)	RAPID DECLINE $(n=361)$	SLOW DECLINE (n=2101)	STABLE (3231)	P ^b	
BMI Underweight (< 18.5) Normal (18.5–23.9) Overweight (24–27.9) Obesity (> = 28) Age	211(3.71) 2540(44.62) 1596(27.93) 1352(23.75)	28(7.76) 171(47.37) 83(22.99) 79(21.88)	105(5.00) 1021(48.60) 564(26.84) 411(19.56)	78(2.41) 1348(41.72) 943(29.19) 862(26.68)	< 0.001	
mean(SD) ^c	57.07(8.16)	60.91(9.76)	58.29(8.11)	55.85(7.73)	< 0.001	
45–54	2340(41.10)	96(26.59)	729(34.70)	1515(46.89)	< 0.001	
55–64	2303(40.45)	148(41.00)	890(42.36)	1265(39.15)		
65–74	901(15.83)	77(21.33)	420(19.99)	404(12.50)		
75–84	149(2.62)	40(11.08)	62(2.95)	47(1.45)		
Male	3042(53.44)	145(40.28)	1075(51.17)	1822(56.39)	< 0.001	
Married	5243(92.10)	308(85.32)	1918(91.29)	3017(93.38)	< 0.001	
Years of schooling					< 0.001	
0	612(10.75)	143(39.61)	371(17.66)	98(3.03)		
1–6	2465(43.30)	151(41.83)	1163(55.35)	1151(35.62)		
>=7	2616(45.95)	67(18.56)	567(26.99)	1982(61.34)		
Medical insurance	5410(95.0)	337(93.35)	1996(95.00)	3077(95.23)	0.295	
Social activities	2317(40.70)	145(40.17)	720(34.27)	1452(44.92)	< 0.001	
Chronic diseases					0.052	
none	1967(34.55)	123(34.07)	676(32.18)	1168(36.15)		
1–3	3317(58.26)	215(59.56)	1266(60.26)	1836(56.82)		
> 3	409(7.18)	23(6.03)	159(7.57)	227(7.03)		
Physical activities	2519(44.25)	161(44.60)	880(41.88)	1478(45.74)	0.021	
Sleeping time, mean(SD) ^c	6.50(1.65)	6.14(1.90)	6.39(1.76)	6.61(1.53)	< 0.001	
MMSE score, mean(SD) ^c	13.63(2.18)	12.29(2.01)	12.20(1.63)	14.71(1.88)	< 0.001	

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the total sample and the sample by the different trajectory groups^a

^a Data are presented as counts (percentage) unless otherwise indicated

 $^{\rm b}$ P value determined using $\chi 2$ test or analysis of variance F-test

^c For continuous variables, mean (SD) for each trajectory group and significance from analysis of variance F-test are reported

Ta	bl	e 2	AvePP	of G	roup	Assign	ment and	BIC	Statistics	of N	Nodel	Fit

No	Order	2ΔΒΙΟ	Proportion	AvePP
1	2	-	100%	100%
2	2,2	3308.84	23.07%/76.93%	88.66%/95.37%
3	2,2,2	1109.68	5.51%/35.36%/59.13%	90.10%/84.69%/90.30%
3(1)	2,2,2,2	781.7	1.81%/11.38%/46.12%/40.70%	95.46%/86.42%/82.08%/86.24%
4	1,2,2	15.46	6.71%/37.92%/55.37%	90.63%/84.08%/88.91%
5	1,1,2	64.16	7.30%/39.80%/52.90%	90.64%/83.63%/88.18%

cognitive function, namely, stable function, slow decline, and rapid decline. Different BMI statuses are associated with different cognitive trajectories. function was rare among the respondents. This finding is consistent with previous studies [15, 34].

Our finding demonstrated that cognitive function declines with age, which was consistent with previous studies [18]. Furthermore, the majority of participants have the stable trajectory, and rapid decline of cognitive

 $BMI < 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ was associated with an OR of 2.238 and 1.523 for participants in the rapid and slow decline groups compared to stable one, respectively. This finding supported that $BMI < 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ was a risk factor for cognitive decline, which is in line with previous



Table 3 As	ssociation o	of body	mass index with	n traiectories	of MMSE scores
------------	--------------	---------	-----------------	----------------	----------------

RAPID DECLINE vs STABLE	SLOW DECLINE vs STABLE					
Adjustment	OR	95%CI	Р	OR	95%CI	Р
Model 1						
Normal (18.5 ~ 23.9)	1			1		
Underweight (< 18.5)	2.830	1.786,4.483	< 0.001	1.777	1.311,2.409	< 0.001
Overweight (24 ~ 27.9)	0.694	0.527,0.914	0.009	0.790	0.692,0.901	< 0.001
Obesity (≥28)	0.722	0.546,0.956	0.023	0.630	0.546,0.726	< 0.001
Model 2						
Normal (18.5 ~ 23.9)	1			1		
Underweight (< 18.5)	2.315	1.397,3.837	0.001	1.597	1.154,2.210	0.005
Overweight (24~27.9) 0.782		0.582,1.051	0.103	0.857	0.743,9,989	0.034
Obesity (≥28)	0.801	0.593,1.108	0.150	0.684	0.586,0.798	< 0.001
Model 3						
Normal	1			1		
Underweight (< 18.5) 2.238		1.346,3.721	0.002	1.523	1.098,2.113	0.012
Overweight (24~27.9) 0.821		0.610,1.105	0.193	0.870	0.753,1.005	0.059
Obesity (≥ 28)	0.827	0.610,1.123	0.224	0.695	0.595,0.813	< 0.001

Note: model 1: no adjustment; model 2: adjust for age, gender, marital status, years of schooling, medical insurance; model 3: adjust for age, gender, marital status, years of schooling, medical insurance, social activities, chronic diseases, physical activities, sleeping time

studies [35]. Underweight may be related to sarcopenia, which was considered as a potential risk factor for cognitive impairment [36]. Lower BMI may indicate malnutrition, and nutritional status is closely related to cognitive function via biological mechanisms [12]. At the same time, weight loss may be a precursor to dementia. Patients with Alzheimer's disease may have pathological changes in their olfactory nerves, reduced attractiveness of food and food intake, resulting in weight loss [37].

 $BMI \ge 28 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (OR = 0.695) was protective factor for slow cognitive decline, although the OR in rapid decline group were not significant. Similar results have been found in cohort studies in South Korea and Singapore, which supports the "obesity paradox" [38, 39]. The study of Forte (2017) showed that maintaining a certain proportion of gynoid fat can put off the cognitive decline [40]. According to the guidelines in the United States, a variety of physical activities such as balance training, aerobics and muscle strengthening can reduce the risk of cognitive decline [41]. People with higher BMI at the basic stage may try to lose weight because of health issues [42]. The risk of cognitive decline among these people may be reduced due to physical exercise, as it is a common way to lose weight.

What is worth exploring is that for the middle and old-aged adults (age 45 +) in China, the research on the protective effect of higher BMI on cognitive function is limited among adults age 45 + in China. In the rapid decline group, higher BMI at baseline was not a protective factor for cognitive decline. It may be because the cognitive function with rapid cognitive decline usually has undergone irreparable physiological pathological changes. Rapid cognitive decline was associated with health conditions, such as blood-brain barrier breakdown, which may interfere with the protective effect of high BMI on cognitive function [43, 44].In addition, we speculate that this may also be related to relatively small number of participants in the rapid decline group (6.7%).

According to our research, cognitive decline in the Chinese population starts at least from the age of 45, in order to prevent an increasing prevalence of cognitive decline and dementia worldwide, we suggest that more attention should be paid to the BMI, which is an easily available indicator of cognitive decline, even for someone with mobility difficulties. Furthermore, we should pay more attention to middle-aged adults (age 45 +) as well as older adults (60 +). When it is found that the middle-aged adults who are underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m^2), we can suggest them to improve nutrition and do more physical activities so as to alleviate the possibility of cognitive decline in future.

The study has some limitations. First of all, the criteria of MMSE scale in the fourth wave were different from those of the first three waves. In order to be consistent, we did not include the fourth wave of data. Second, there is a mixture in the measurement of weight and height in CHARLS, we have corrected most of the data, but there may still be a small margin of error. Third, although other similar studies included variables such as depressive symptoms, dietary intake, smoking and drinking, there were many missing values in the early data; therefore, we did not adopt these variables when fitting regression models. Finally, the results of our study are only for the middle and old-aged adults in China. If we want to extend the conclusions to the whole Asian region, more comprehensive data are needed.

Conclusions

There were three trajectories of cognitive function among Chinese adults (age 45 +). Underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²) was associated with an increased risk of cognitive decline. Moreover, obesity (BMI > 28 kg/m²) had a protective effect on cognitive function only in the trajectory of slow decline. Based on our findings, to identify middle-aged and older adults in the community who are underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/ m²) and to conduct annual BMI calculation could be a low-cost way to screen people at risk of cognitive decline.

Abbreviations

AvePP: Average posterior probability; BMI: Body mass index; BIC: Bayesian Information Criterion; CI: Confidence Intervals; CHARLS: China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; GBTM: Group-based trajectory modeling; IRB: Institutional Review Board; MMSE: Mini-mental state examination; OR: Odds ratio; TICS: Telephone interview for cognitive status.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff and the participants of the CHARLS study.

Authors' contributions

Wei Zhang: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, project administration, visualization and writing-review&editing. Yanan Chen: Data curation, formal analysis and writing-original draft. Na Chen: Conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation and writing-review&editing. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by Humanities and Social Studies Program of Ministry of Education (20YJC840004); Jiangsu Provincial Higher Education Reform Research Project Key Project (2021JSJG053); and Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine 'Jiangsu University nursing advantage discipline construction project' funding (2019YSHL075).

Availability of data and material

The data that support the findings of this study are available from CHARLS but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are how-ever available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of CHARLS (https://charls.charlsdata.com/pages/data/111/zh-cn.html).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All respondents gave informed written consent before they were interviewed, and ethical approval for collecting data on human subjects was obtained and renewed annually at the Peking University Institutional Review Board (IRB00001052–11015). There is no need for additional ethics approval for the approved data users. All methods in this study were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Author details

¹School of Health Economics and Management, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. ²School of Elderly Care Services and Management, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, 138 Xianlin Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China.

Received: 29 March 2022 Accepted: 14 July 2022 Published online: 23 July 2022

References

- Feigin V L, Nichols E, Alam T, Bannick M S, Beghi E, Blake N. Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neuro. 2019;18(5):459–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(18) 30499-X.
- Realdon O, Rossetto F, Nalin M, Baroni I, Cabinio M, Fioravanti R, et al. Technology-enhanced multi-domain at home continuum of care program with respect to usual care for people with cognitive impairment: the Ability-TelerehABILITation study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychiatry. 2016;16(1):425. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12888-016-1132-y.
- Deng Y, Zhao S, Cheng G, Yang J, Li B, Xu K. The prevalence of mild cognitive impairment among Chinese people: a meta-analysis. Neuroepidemiology. 2021;55(2):79–91. https://doi.org/10.1159/000512597.
- Hussenoeder F S, Conrad I, Roehr S, Fuchs A, Pentzek M, Bickel H, et al. Mild cognitive impairment and quality of life in the oldest old: a closer look. Qual Life Res. 2020;29(6):1675–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11136-020-02425-5.
- Ntlholang O, McCarroll K, Laird E, Molloy A M, Ward M, McNult H, et al. The relationship between adiposity and cognitive function in a large community-dwelling population: data from the Trinity Ulster Department of Agriculture (TUDA) ageing cohort study. Br J Nutr. 2018;120(5):517–27. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007114518001848.
- Lim E J, Lee K J, Kim H. Association of body mass index and cognitive function in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. Korean J Psychosomatic Med. 2016;24(2):184–90.
- Bae E M, Park S M. Association between variations in body mass index and cognitive function in older Korean adults. J Obes Metab Syndr. 2021;30(3):271–8. https://doi.org/10.7570/jomes21044.
- Puzianowska-Kuznicka M, Kurylowicz A, Walkiewicz D, Borkowska J, Owczarz M, Olszanecka-Glinianowicz M, et al. Obesity paradox in Caucasian seniors: results of the Polsenior study. J Nutr Health Aging. 2019;23(9):796–804. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-019-1257-z.
- Benito-Leon J, Mitchell A J, Hernandez-Gallego J, Bermejo-Pareja F. Obesity and impaired cognitive functioning in the elderly: a populationbased cross-sectional study (NEDICES). Eur J Neurol. 2013;20(6):899–906. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.12083 e876-897.
- Ng T-P, Feng L, Niti M, Yap K B. Albumin, haemoglobin, BMI and cognitive performance in older adults. Age and Ageing. 2008;37(4):423–9. https:// doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afn102.
- Sakakura K, Hoshide S, Ishikawa J, Momomura S-i, Kawakami M, Shimada K, et al. Association of body mass index with cognitive function in elderly hypertensive Japanese. Am J Hypertens. 2008;21(6):627–32. https://doi. org/10.1038/ajh.2008.157.
- Coin A, Veronese N, De Rui M, Mosele M, Bolzetta F, Girardi A, et al. Nutritional predictors of cognitive impairment severity in demented elderly patients: The key role of BMI. J Nutr Health Aging. 2012;16(6):553–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-012-0052-x.
- Feng Y, Le L, Zhang D, Tao J, Huang Y Y. Study on the relationship between different obesity indexes and cognitive function of the elderly in community [J]. Geriatrics and health care. 2021;27(01):161-163+176.
- Tian H, Wang Z, Lei Q, Liang X, Wu W, Zhao Q. The Association between Body Mass Index and Mild Cognitive Impairment of the Elderly in the Community Adults in Jing'an District of Shanghai [J]. Chin J Clin Neurosci. 2021;29(05):527-532+564.
- Min J W. A longitudinal study of cognitive trajectories and its factors for Koreans aged 60 and over: a latent growth mixture model. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2018;33(5):755–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4855.
- Hu H, Han X, Li Y, Wang F, Yuan J, Miao X, et al. BMI, waist circumference and all-cause mortality in a middle-aged and elderly Chinese population. J Nutr Health Aging. 2018;22(8):975–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12603-018-1047-z.

- Lobo E, Gracia-Garcia P, Lobo A, Saz P, De-la-Camara C. Differences in trajectories and predictive factors of cognition over time in a sample of cognitively healthy adults, in Zaragoza, Spain. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(13):7092. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18137092.
- Tu L, Lv X, Yuan C, Zhang M, Fan Z, Xu X, et al. Trajectories of cognitive function and their determinants in older people: 12 years of follow-up in the Chinese longitudinal healthy longevity survey. Int Psychogeriatr. 2020;32(6):765–75. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610220000538.
- Rosenberg A, Ngandu T, Rusanen M, Antikainen R, Backman L, Havulinna S. Multidomain lifestyle intervention benefits a large elderly population at risk for cognitive decline and dementia regardless of baseline characteristics: the FINGER trial. Alzheimers Dement. 2018;14(3):263–70. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jalz.2017.09.006.
- Zhao Y, Hu Y, Smith JP, Strauss J, Yang G. Cohort profile: the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43(1):61–68.https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys203.
- Folstein M F, Folstein S E, McHugh P R. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975;12(3):189–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(75) 90026-6.
- Zhang M Y, Katzman R, Salmon D, Jin H, Cai G J, Wang Z Y, et al. The prevalence of dementia and Alzheimer's disease in Shanghai, China: impact of age, gender, and education. Ann Neurol. 1990;27(4):428–37. https://doi. org/10.1002/ana.410270412.
- Li J, Cacchione P Z, Hodgson N, Riegel B, Keenan B T, Scharf M T, et al. Afternoon napping and cognition in Chinese older adults: findings from the China health and R-retirement longitudinal study baseline assessment. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2017;65(2):373–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs. 14368.
- You Y, Xia H. The Influencing factors of successful aging of the elderly in different regions of China based on CHARLS [J]. Modern Preventive Med. 2020;47(11):2021-2024+2034.
- Deurenberg P, Yap M, van Staveren W A. Body mass index and percent body fat: a meta analysis among different ethnic groups. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1998;22(12):1164–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.08007 41.
- Finucane M M, Stevens G A, Cowan M J, Danaei G, Lin J K, Paciorek C J, et al. National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. Lancet. 2011;377(9765):557–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)62037-5.
- Jee S H, Pastor-Barriuso R, Appel L J, Suh I, Miller E R, Guallar E. Body mass index and incident ischemic heart disease in South Korean men and women. Am J Epidemiol2. 2005;162(1):42–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/ kwi166.
- Vasudevan D, Stotts A L, Mandayam S, Omegie L A. omparison of BMI and anthropometric measures among South Asian Indians using standard and modified criteria. Public Health Nut. 2011;14(5):809–16. https://doi. org/10.1017/s1368980010003307.
- Hou Q, Guan Y, Yu W, Liu X, Wu L, Xiao M, et al. Associations between obesity and cognitive impairment in the Chinese elderly: an observational study. Clin Interv Aging. 2019;14:367–73. https://doi.org/10.2147/ CIA.S192050.
- Lucey B P, Wisch J, Boerwinkle A H, Landsness E C, Toedebusch C D, McLeland J S, et al. Sleep and longitudinal cognitive performance in preclinical and early symptomatic Alzheimer's disease. Brain. 2021;144(9):2852–62. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab272.
- Dauwan M, Begemann M J H, Slot M I E, Lee E H M, Scheltens P, Sommer I E C. Physical exercise improves quality of life, depressive symptoms, and cognition across chronic brain disorders: a transdiagnostic systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Neurol. 2021;268(4):1222–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-019-09493-9.
- Nagin DS, Odgers CL. Group-Based Trajectory Modeling in Clinical Research [M]//NolenHoeksema S, Cannon TD, Widiger T. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2010;6:109–38.
- Jones B L, Nagin D S. A Note on a Stata Plugin for Estimating Groupbased Trajectory Models. Sociol Methods Res. 2013;42(4):608–13. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0049124113503141.
- Wu Z, Woods R L, Wolfe R, Storey E, Chong TT J, Shah R C, et al. Trajectories of cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults: a

longitudinal study of population heterogeneity. Alzheimers Dement (Amst. 2021;13(1):e12180–e12180. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12180.

- Hua Y, Hua Y, Kong D, Dong X. Body mass index and cognitive function decline. Innov Aging. 2019;3(Supplement_1):S815–S815. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/geroni/igz038.3006.
- Nishiguchi S, Yamada M, Shirooka H, Nozaki Y, Fukutani N, Tashiro Y, et al. Sarcopenia as a Risk Factor for Cognitive Deterioration in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A 1-Year Prospective Study. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016;17(4):372 e375-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2015.12.096.
- Kovács T, Cairns N J, Lantos P L. Olfactory centres in Alzheimer's disease: olfactory bulb is involved in early Braak's stages. Neuroreport. 2001;12(2):285–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200102120-00021.
- Kim G, Choi S, Lyu J. Body mass index and trajectories of cognitive decline among older Korean adults. Aging Ment Health. 2020;24(5):758–64. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1550628.
- Talaei M, Feng L, Barrenetxea J, Yuan J M, Pan v, Koh W P. Adiposity, Weight Change, and Risk of Cognitive Impairment: The Singapore Chinese Health Study. J Alzheimers Dis. 2020;74(1):319–29. https://doi.org/10.3233/ JAD-191052.
- Forte R, Pesce C, De Vito G, Boreham C. The body fat-cognition relationship in healthy older individuals: Does gynoid vs android distribution matter? J Nutri Health Aging. 2017;21(3):284–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12603-016-0783-1.
- Piercy K L, Troiano R P, Ballard R M, Carlson S A, Fulton J E, Galuska D A, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. JAMA. 2018;320(19):2020–8. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.14854.
- Surrow S, Jessen-Winge C, Ilvig P M, Christensen J R. The motivation and opportunities for weight loss related to the everyday life of people with obesity: A qualitative analysis within the DO:IT study. Scand J Occup Ther. 2021;28(6):479–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/11038128.2020.1726451.
- Bowman G L, Dayon L, Kirkland R, Wojcik J, Peyratout G, Severin I C, et al. Blood-brain barrier breakdown, neuroinflammation, and cognitive decline in older adults. Alzheimers Dement. 2018;14(12):1640–50. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.06.2857.
- Kronschnabl J M, Kneip T, Weiss L M, Bergmann M. Bodyweight change and cognitive performance in the older population. PLoS One. 2021;16(4):e0249651. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249651.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

