

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



# Cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors associated with dependency in basic and instrumental activities of daily living among older Iranian adults: Bushehr elderly health program

Kazem Khalagi<sup>1</sup> , Akram Ansarifard<sup>2</sup>, Noushin Fahimfar<sup>1</sup> , Mahnaz Sanjari<sup>3</sup> , Safoora Gharibzdeh<sup>4</sup> , Farshad Sharifi<sup>5</sup>, Gita Shafiee<sup>6</sup>, Ramin Heshmat<sup>6</sup>, Iraj Nabipour<sup>7</sup>, Bagher Larijani<sup>8</sup>  and Afshin Ostovar<sup>1\*</sup> 

## Abstract

**Background:** Iran's population is aging. Disability is a major public health problem for older adults, not only in Iran but all over the world. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors and disability in people 60 years and older in Iran.

**Methods:** The baseline (cross-sectional) data of 2426 samples from the Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program was included in the analysis. The participants were selected through multi-stage random sampling in Bushehr, southern Iran. Socio-demographic characteristics, as well as the history of diabetes and other chronic diseases, and smoking were measured using standardized questionnaires. Anthropometric measurements and laboratory tests were performed under standard conditions. Dependency was determined by the questionnaires of basic activities of daily living (BADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) using Barthel and Lawton scales respectively. Multiple logistic regression was used in the analysis.

**Results:** Mean (Standard Deviation) of the participants' age was 69.3 (6.4) years (range: 60 and 96 years), and 48.1% of the participants were men. After adjusting for potential confounders, being older, being female (OR (95%CI): 2.3 (1.9–2.9)), having a lower education level, a history of diabetes mellitus (OR: 1.4 (1.2–1.7)) and past smoking (OR: 1.3 (1.0–1.6)), and no physical activity (OR: 1.5 (1.2–1.9)) were significantly associated with dependency in IADL. Also, being older and female (OR: 2.4 (1.9–3.0)), having a lower education level, no physical activity (OR: 2.2 (1.6–2.9)) and daily intake of calories (OR: 0.99 (0.99–0.99)) were associated with dependency in BADL.

**Conclusion:** Dependency in older adults can be prevented by increasing community literacy, improving physical activity, preventing and controlling diabetes mellitus, avoiding smoking, and reducing daily calorie intake.

**Keywords:** Cardio-metabolic, Socio-demographic, Risk factor, Disability, Basic activities of daily living, Instrumental activities of daily living, Geriatrics, Iran

\* Correspondence: [aostovar@tums.ac.ir](mailto:aostovar@tums.ac.ir)

<sup>1</sup>Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Background

According to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF), disability is defined as disorders and limitations of activity and participation. Disability is the result of an interaction between illness and personal and environmental factors (e.g., negative attitudes, inability to use the transportation system and inadequate public facilities, and inadequate social support) [1]. The Barthel activities of daily living (ADL) and the Lawton instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) indices are standard tools for measuring disability [2, 3]. In recent years, the burden of disability increased by 52% worldwide. According to the results of a population-based study in Tehran, the prevalence of disabilities in individuals aged  $\geq 60$  years was 11% in 2011 [4]. In another study in 2012 in Iran, 13.2% of women and 12.6% of men were dependent on others for at least one daily activity [5]. Almost 80% of these disabilities are the result of non-communicable diseases. According to the Global Burden of Disease (GBD), diabetes was the fourth leading cause of disability in the world in 2017 [6]. Cardio-metabolic risk refers to risk factors that increase the chance of experiencing cardiovascular events such as heart attack or stroke. This concept includes traditional risk factors, such as age, sex, obesity, family history, hypertension, dyslipidemia (high LDL cholesterol, high triglycerides, and low HDL cholesterol), dysglycemia, and smoking, as well as emerging risk factors, such as abdominal obesity (measured by waist circumference), inflammation as measured by high-sensitivity C-Reactive Protein levels, insulin resistance, lack of consumption of fruits and vegetables, psychosocial stress, and sedentary lifestyle [7]. These risk factors can increase the likelihood of disability, either directly or by increasing the risk of cardiovascular events.

Disability risk factors vary across communities and regions of the world. According to a study in Australia, disability was positively associated with smoking, obesity, diabetes, and being woman [8]. In another study in the Netherlands, the most important predictors of disability in old age were previous disability and age. Other factors (such as sex, cognitive function, peer health score, obesity, hypertension, and joint pain) did not play a significant role in increasing disability [9]. In another study conducted in 6 middle and low-income countries (India, Ghana, South Africa, Mexico, Russia, and China), age, chronic diseases (such as hypertension, angina, stroke, diabetes, chronic lung disease, asthma, and arthritis) and depression were identified as the most important factors affecting disability. Other factors (such as sex, marital status, education, social capital, physical activity, BMI) either had no role or played a different role in societies [10].

In a study of the older adults in Spain, the hazard ratios (HRs) in the physical disability domain questionnaire were

1.14 to 1.52 for total mortality and 1.29 to 1.58 for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) compared to people with no disabilities. The researchers found that in older people with disabilities, physical activity reduces the risk of total death as well as death from CVD. The death rate in disabled people is similar to inactive people with no disability. The suggested mechanism is physical activity reduces obesity, sarcopenia, falls, and more. Physical activity also improves one's social network, mood, and depression; all of them are associated with reduced morbidity and mortality [11].

Dhamoon et al. showed that although the maximum rate of disability due to stroke and myocardial infarction (MI) occurs during the stroke, the rate of disability continues to increase annually in people who experience these vascular events. This is even worse in people who have had a stroke [12]. Therefore, if the disability status is determined using self-report, it may consider the timing of the underlying disease.

Iranian population has quadrupled over the last six decades, while population growth has almost halved [13]. Also, from 1970 to 2010, life expectancy increased from 50.6 to 71.6 years for Iranian men and from 56.2 to 77.8 years for women. Iran is one of the countries that during this period has experienced significant improvements in life expectancy at birth in both sexes [14]. One of the most common problems in Iranian public health is the high prevalence of cardio-metabolic risk factors. For example, the results of a meta-analysis showed that about one-third of Iranians had metabolic syndrome. Besides, the prevalence of this syndrome increases with age [15]. According to Sadeghi et al., only due to aging, the CVD burden and DALY in the Iranian population will double in 2025 compared to 2005 [16]. The highest YLL, YLD, and DALY will be in people over 80 years of age [17]. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors and disability using basic and instrumental activities of daily living, in people 60 years and older in Iran.

## Methods

### Study design

In this study, a total of 2426 people from the baseline (second stage of the first phase) of a population-based prospective cohort study, the Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program [18, 19] were included in the analysis. The purpose of the BEH study, whose methodology has been described elsewhere [18, 19], is to investigate the incidence of non-communicable diseases and associated risk factors among people 60 years and older. The participants were selected through multi-stage stratified cluster random sampling in Bushehr, southern Iran [18]. The first stage of the first phase of the BEH program was implemented from March 2013 to October 2014.

Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors was investigated among 3000 men and women (participation rate = 90.2%) in this stage [18]. The second stage of the first phase of the study was conducted 2.5 years later on 2772 eligible persons from the first stage. The prevalence of musculoskeletal and cognitive diseases and their risk factors was investigated in this stage. Seventy-eight subjects eligible to participate in the second stage of the first phase, due to death, and 268 subjects due to loss to follow-up, did not attend at this stage (12.5%) [19]. Both stages of the first phase of the study are the baseline phase of the BEH program, and each stage has been focused on measuring the prevalence of the specific groups of non-communicable diseases and their risk factors [18, 19]. Follow-up of the non-communicable disease incidence in the enrolled subjects will be done in the next phases of the BEH program.

#### Measurements outcomes

In this study, disability was measured by two questionnaires of Basic Activity of Daily Living (BADL) using Barthel scale [3], and Instrumental Activity of Daily Living (IADL) using Lawton [2] scale, through face to face interview with the participants by the trained questionnaires. The validity and reliability of these questionnaires were previously assessed in Iran and were at acceptable levels [20, 21]. The BADL questionnaire has 10 items including eating, bathing, urine control, toilet use, moving from bed to chair and vice versa, dressing, self-cleaning, stool control, climbing stairs, and ability to move on a flat surface. The IADL questionnaire has 8 items including the ability to use the phone, cooking meals, washing clothes, taking medication, shopping, housekeeping, transportation, and financial ability. These two questionnaires assess the degree of dependency of older adults. In the BADL questionnaire, the subjects with total scores of <95 on the Barthel scale were considered as a dependent, and those with scores of 95 to 100 were considered as independent. The subjects with total scores of 0 to 7 of the IADL questionnaire were also defined as dependent and those with a score of 8 were defined as an independent.

#### Cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors

In this study, we considered cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors as independent variables. Cardio-metabolic risk factors refer to risk factors that increase the chance of experiencing cardiovascular events, such as age, sex, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia (high LDL cholesterol, high triglycerides, and low HDL cholesterol), dysglycemia, smoking, abdominal obesity, lack of consumption of fruits and vegetables, and sedentary lifestyle.

Socio-demographic characteristics including sex, age, marital status, and education level, as well as information on cardio-metabolic risk factors including history of diabetes mellitus and hypertension, smoking, physical activity, and daily intake of calories, were collected using the standardized questionnaires. Diabetes mellitus was defined as current fasting blood sugar  $\geq 126$  mg/dL, or HbA1c  $\geq 6.5$ , or subject's self-reporting of diabetes mellitus based on a doctor's diagnosis, or current use of anti-diabetic drugs. Hypertension was defined as current systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$  mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$  mmHg, or subject's self-reporting of hypertension based on a doctor's diagnosis, or current use of anti-hypertension drugs. Smoking refers to current or past use of cigarettes or hookahs or pipes. Hookahs is a single- or multi-stemmed instrument for vaporizing and smoking flavored tobacco, whose vapor or smoke is passed through a water basin-often glass-based-before inhalation. Physical Activity was measured using Aadahl et al. physical activity questionnaire [22]. Daily intake of calories (Kcal) was assessed by a standardized 24-h dietary recall questionnaire.

Anthropometric measurements including height, weight, and waist circumference (WC) as well as laboratory measurements including LDL, HDL, total cholesterol, and triglyceride were performed under standard conditions with calibrated instruments. Anthropometric measurements were taken with shoes removed and the participants wearing light clothing. Height and weight were measured with a fixed stadiometer and a digital scale according to the standard protocol. A flexible, circumference measuring tape is used to measure the waist (WC). WC should be measured at a point midway between the iliac crest and the lowest rib in a standing position [19]. High WC was defined as waist circumference >102 cm in males and >88 cm in females.

An overnight fasting venous blood sample was obtained for every participant for biochemical measurements. A total of 25 cc of whole blood is collected by a trained nurse. Fasting blood sugar was measured by enzymes (glucose oxidase) colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun, Karaj, Iran). HbA1C was measured by boranate affinity method using a CERA-STAT system (CERAGEM MEDISYS, chungcheongnam-do, Korea). Total cholesterol was measured by enzymatic (cholesterol oxidase phenol aminoantipyrine (CHOD-PAP)) colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun) [19]. High total cholesterol was considered as total cholesterol  $\geq 200$  mg/dL. HDL cholesterol was measured by enzymatic (cholesterol esterase and cholesterol oxidase (CHE & CHO)) colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun) [19]. Low HDL cholesterol was defined as high density lipoprotein cholesterol < 40 mg/dL in males, and < 50 mg/dL in females. LDL cholesterol was measured by

enzymatic (CHE & CHO) colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun) [19]. High LDL cholesterol was considered as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol  $\geq 110$  mg/dL. Triglyceride was measured by enzymatic (glycerol-3-phosphate oxidase phenol aminoantipyrine (GPO-PAP)) colorimetric method using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun) [19]. High serum triglyceride was defined as serum triglyceride  $\geq 150$  mg/dL.

### Statistical analysis

In the descriptive analysis, we used the mean (standard deviation), and number (percent) for the continuous and categorical variables respectively. To investigate the association between the risk factors and dependency in IADL and BADL, at first, the directed acyclic graph (DAG) was depicted based on the literature review, considering the activities of daily living as the outcome and the cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors as the explanatory variables (see Figure S1 in the Additional file 1). We used the DAG to help us choose the proper covariates (confounders, not intermediate or collider variables) to enter into the multiple models. The logistic regression model via Hosmer and Lemeshow suggested strategy [23] was used to investigate the association between BADL and IADL and cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors based on the causal graph. The risk factors that their effect should be controlled based on the DAG, as well as the  $P$ -value of their association with the outcome was  $\leq 0.25$  in the bivariate analysis, were entered into the multiple logistic model. Then the included risk factors were removed from the model one by one when they lost their significance while checking via a likelihood ratio test. Afterward, the statistical significance of the plausible interaction terms between the remaining risk factors in the model was assessed. We chose the interaction terms based on the literature review and expert opinion. No interaction term was statistically significant. Eventually, the goodness of fit of the final model to the data was checked out using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Stata version 15.1 (Stata Corp) was used for statistical analysis.

### Results

Mean (Standard Deviation) of the participants' age was 69.3 (6.4) years (range: 60 and 96 years). 76.8% of the participants were married. About 67% of the people were literate. The socio-demographic characteristics of the participants were presented in Table 1.

In all, 1235 out of 2179 (proportion (95% CI); 56.7 (54.6–58.8) %), and 560 out of 2381 of the study participants (proportion (95% CI); 23.5 (21.9–25.3) %) were dependent in the IADL and BADL, respectively.

Mean (Standard Deviation) of the age of dependent and independent people in the IADL were 70.3 (6.9) and

**Table 1** Participants' socio-demographic characteristics ( $n = 2426$ )

Characteristic	No. (%)
Men	1166 (48.1)
<i>Age (years)</i>	
60–64	598 (24.7)
65–69	952 (39.2)
70–74	379 (15.6)
75–79	282 (11.6)
80–84	146 (6.0)
$\geq 85$	69 (2.8)
<i>Marital status</i>	
Single	19 (0.8)
Married	1864 (76.8)
Divorced	20 (0.8)
Widow	523 (21.6)
<i>Education</i>	
No education	800 (33.0)
Primary School	885 (36.5)
Guidance school	218 (9.0)
High school	332 (3.7)
Academic	189 (7.8)

67.7 (5.0) years respectively. Table 2 presents the association between the socio-demographic and cardio-metabolic risk factors with dependency in the IADL using simple and multiple logistic regressions. In Table 2, the distribution of the risk factors according to the IADL status ("dependent" status) has been shown in the column "Dependent No. (%)". Obviously, the "independent" status of the IADL can be reconstructed using the data of the "dependent" status. Column "Crude OR (95%CI)" of Table 2 shows the prevalence odds ratio of the association of each risk factor with IADL in the simple logistic regression. Estimation of the prevalence odds ratio of each risk factor was adjusted for other risk factors entered into the multiple logistic regression, and they presented in column "adjusted OR (95%CI)" of Table 2. In this column, adjusted ORs have been displayed only for the risk factors that remained in the final multiple logistic model, after fitting the model by the backward method. The  $p$ -value of Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit (GOF) test for the IADL multiple logistic model (with 8 degrees of freedom) was 0.11.

Mean (Standard Deviation) of the age of dependent and independent participants in the BADL were 71.3 (7.3) and 68.7 (6.0) years, respectively. The association between the socio-demographic and cardio-metabolic risk factors with dependency in the BADL has been presented in Table 3. In Table 3, the distribution of the risk factors according to the BADL status ("dependent"

**Table 2** Assessment of the association between the cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors and dependency in the IADL using simple and multiple logistic regressions

Risk Factor		Dependent No. (%)	Crude OR <sup>a</sup> (95%CI)	P value <sup>b</sup>	Adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95%CI) <sup>c</sup>	P value <sup>d</sup>
Age (years)	60–64	267 (48.5)	1	–	1	–
	65–69	453 (52.3)	1.2 (0.9–1.4)	0.16	1.1 (0.8–1.3)	0.70
	70–74	196 (57.5)	1.4 (1.1–1.9)	0.009	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	0.10
	75–79	172 (70.2)	2.51 (1.8–3.5)	< 0.001	1.9 (1.3–2.7)	< 0.001
	80–84	100 (80.7)	4.43 (2.8–7.1)	< 0.001	3.5 (2.1–5.8)	< 0.001
	≥ 85	47 (90.4)	10.0 (3.9–25.5)	< 0.001	6.6 (2.5–17.3)	< 0.001
Sex	Female	817 (69.0)	1	–	1	–
	Male	418 (42.1)	0.3 (0.3–0.4)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.4–0.5)	< 0.001
Education	No education	533 (75.1)	1	–	1	–
	Primary School	465 (58.6)	0.5 (0.4–0.6)	< 0.001	0.6 (0.5–0.8)	< 0.001
	Guidance school	81 (40.9)	0.23 (0.2–0.3)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	< 0.001
	High school	103 (34.3)	0.17 (0.1–0.2)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.2–0.4)	< 0.001
	Academic	53 (29.9)	0.1 (0.1–0.2)	< 0.001	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	No	770 (53.4)	1	–	1	–
	Yes	462 (63.0)	1.49 (1.2–1.8)	< 0.001	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	< 0.001
Hypertension	No	294 (50.6)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	941 (58.9)	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	0.001	–	–
High total cholesterol	No	825 (56.1)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	409 (57.8)	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	0.47	–	–
Low HDL cholesterol	No	577 (52.2)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	657 (61.2)	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	< 0.001	–	–
High LDL cholesterol	No	643 (57.0)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	591 (56.5)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	0.83	–	–
High serum triglyceride	No	841 (56.6)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	393 (56.9)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	0.89	–	–
Smoking	Never	525 (53.9)	1	–	1	–
	Past	476 (63.2)	1.5 (1.2–1.8)	< 0.001	1.3 (1.0–1.6)	0.047
	Current	234 (51.8)	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	0.45	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.14
BMI	< 18.5	24 (57.1)	1	–	–	–
	18.5–24.9	352 (56.2)	1.0 (0.5–1.8)	0.91	–	–
	25–29.9	496 (53.1)	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	0.61	–	–
	≥ 30	363 (62.9)	1.3 (0.7–2.4)	0.5	–	–
High Waist Circumference	No	417 (56.3)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	818 (56.9)	1.0 (0.9–1.2)	0.8	–	–
Physical Activity	No	993 (60.2)	1	–	1	–
	Yes	242 (45.8)	0.6 (0.5–0.7)	< 0.001	0.7 (0.5–0.8)	< 0.001
Daily intake of calories		1534.0 (582.4) <sup>e</sup>	.9996 (.9995–.9998)	< 0.001	–	–

a: Prevalence Odds Ratio: They indicate what is the chance of having dependency in the IADL in each group compared to the reference group (first group) of each risk factor?

b: In the bivariate analysis

c: Adjusted OR (95%CI) have been displayed only for the risk factors that remained in the final multiple logistic model, after fitting the model by the backward method. The risk factors “age”, “sex”, “education”, “diabetes mellitus”, “hypertension”, “low HDL cholesterol”, “smoking”, “physical activity” and “daily intake of calories” entered into the first multiple logistic model and after fitting the model by the backward method, the covariates “age”, “sex”, “education”, “diabetes mellitus”, “smoking” and “physical activity” remained in the final model

d: In the multiple logistic regression

e: Mean (Standard Deviation)

**Table 3** Assessment of the association between the cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors and dependency in the BADL using simple and multiple logistic regressions

Risk Factor		Dependent No. (%)	Crude OR <sup>a</sup> (95%CI)	P value <sup>b</sup>	Adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95%CI) <sup>c</sup>	P value <sup>d</sup>
Age (years)	60–64	98 (16.6)	1	–	1	–
	65–69	191 (20.5)	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	0.06	1.2 (0.9–1.5)	0.33
	70–74	95 (25.6)	1.7 (1.3–2.4)	0.001	1.6 (1.1–2.2)	0.007
	75–79	101 (36.3)	2.9 (2.1–4.0)	< 0.001	2.4 (1.7–3.4)	< 0.001
	80–84	39 (27.9)	1.9 (1.3–3.0)	0.003	1.5 (1.0–2.4)	0.078
	≥ 85	36 (52.2)	5.5 (3.3–9.2)	< 0.001	4.0 (2.3–7.0)	< 0.001
Sex	Female	405 (32.9)	1	–	1	–
	Male	155 (13.5)	0.3 (0.3–0.4)	< 0.001	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	< 0.001
Education	No education	268 (34.4)	1	–	1	–
	Primary School	204 (23.3)	0.6 (0.5–0.7)	< 0.001	0.8 (0.7–1.1)	0.154
	Guidance school	37 (17.2)	0.4 (0.3–0.6)	< 0.001	0.8 (0.5–1.2)	0.20
	High school	35 (10.7)	0.2 (0.2–0.3)	< 0.001	0.5 (0.3–0.7)	< 0.001
	Academic	16 (8.6)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	< 0.001	0.5 (0.3–0.8)	0.008
Diabetes mellitus	No	355 (22.4)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	204 (25.8)	1.2 (1.0–1.5)	0.07	–	–
Hypertension	No	125 (19.5)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	435 (25.0)	1.4 (1.1–1.7)	0.005	–	–
High total cholesterol	No	367 (23.0)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	192 (24.5)	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	0.43	–	–
Low HDL cholesterol	No	253 (20.8)	1	–	1	–
	Yes	306 (26.3)	1.4 (1.1–1.7)	0.001	1.2 (1.0–1.5)	0.08
High LDL cholesterol	No	289 (23.6)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	269 (23.3)	1.0 (0.8–1.2)	0.89	–	–
High serum triglyceride	No	370 (22.8)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	189 (25.0)	1.1 (0.9–1.4)	0.22	–	–
Smoking	Never	242 (22.9)	1	–	–	–
	Past	205 (24.7)	1.1 (0.9–1.4)	0.36	–	–
	Current	113 (22.8)	1.0 (0.8–1.3)	0.95	–	–
BMI	< 18.5	12 (25.5)	1	–	–	–
	18.5–24.9	137 (19.7)	0.7 (0.4–1.4)	0.33	–	–
	25–29.9	214 (21.3)	0.8 (0.4–1.6)	0.49	–	–
	≥30	197 (31.1)	1.3 (0.7–2.69)	0.42	–	–
High Waist Circumference	No	174 (21.2)	1	–	–	–
	Yes	386 (24.8)	1.2 (1.0–1.5)	0.05	–	–
Physical Activity	No	490 (26.6)	1	–	1	–
	Yes	70 (12.9)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	< 0.001	0.5 (0.4–0.6)	< 0.001
Daily intake of calories		1414.5 (533.5) <sup>e</sup>	0.99 (0.99–0.99)	< 0.001	0.99 (0.99–0.99)	0.001

a: Prevalence Odds Ratio: They indicate what is the chance of having dependency in the BADL in each group compared to the reference group (first group) of each risk factor?

b: In the bivariate analysis

c: Adjusted OR (95%CI) have been displayed only for the risk factors that remained in the final multiple logistic model, after fitting the model by the backward method. The risk factors “age”, “sex”, “education”, “diabetes mellitus”, “hypertension”, “low HDL cholesterol”, “high serum triglyceride”, “high waist circumference” “physical activity” and “daily intake of calories” entered into the first multiple logistic model and after fitting the model by the backward method, the covariates “age”, “sex”, “education”, “low HDL cholesterol”, “physical activity” and “daily intake of calories” remained in the final model

d: In the multiple logistic regression

e: Mean (Standard Deviation)

status) has been shown in the column “*Dependent No. (%)*”. Column “*Crude OR (95%CI)*” of Table 3 shows the prevalence odds ratio of the association of each risk factor with IADL in the simple logistic regression. Estimation of the prevalence odds ratio of each risk factor was adjusted for other risk factors entered into the multiple logistic regression, and they presented in column “*adjusted OR (95%CI)*” of Table 3. In this column, adjusted ORs have been displayed only for the risk factors that remained in the final multiple logistic model, after fitting the model by the backward method. The result of the Hosmer and Lemeshow GOF test for the BADL multiple logistic model (with 8 degrees of freedom) was not statistically significant ( $P$ -value =0.17).

## Discussion

The goal of our study was to assess the association between cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors with BADL and IADL in older people. The study results showed that 56.7 and 23.5% of the participants were dependent on the IADL and BADL respectively. After adjusting for potential confounders, being older and female, having a lower education level, a history of diabetes mellitus and past smoking, and no physical activity were significantly associated with dependency in the IADL. Also, being older and female, having a lower education level, no physical activity and daily intake of calories were associated with dependency in the BADL.

In a study in Poland, Ćwirlej-Sozańska et al. reported the lower dependency in the IADL and BADL (35.8 and 17.1%, respectively) than our study [24]. Also, the percentages of the dependency in our study were much higher than those observed in the studies in Ireland [25] and Nepal [26], but lower than in Panama [27]. Diversities in the prevalence of dependency among different countries can be due to differences in the frequency of its various risk factors in those communities.

In a study in the Netherlands, age was reported as one of the most important predictors of disability [9]. In another study conducted in 6 middle and low-income countries, older age, were also identified as the important factor affecting disability [10]. Our study results were in agreement with these results.

In most studies, being a woman has been mentioned as one of the predictors of disability due to several reasons including higher life expectancy, lower-income, and physical weakness [8, 24]. However, in a study in Panama, being male increased the odds of disability in IADL and BADL, with the possible causes being lower smoking for women and equal monthly income in both sexes [27]. In our study, being female was a risk factor for dependency in both IADL and BADL.

Chronic illness increases the chance of developing disability [24]. According to the study by Marengoni et al.

in Sweden, almost none of those without chronic disease were dependent on BADL. The incidence of disability was lowest in people with CVD and highest in those with mental and cerebrovascular diseases [28]. In a study, in diabetic patients, BMI along with cardio-metabolic risk factors (hypertension, history of CVD, impaired eGFR, TG, and HDL cholesterol) explained 25–35% excess odds of disability. In that study, diabetes mellitus increased 2-fold the risk of disability [29]. Given that diabetes is associated with neuropathy, retinopathy, and PAD, such a relationship is not unexpected. In another study in Australia, disability was associated with smoking (OR: 1.8 (1.2–2.78)), obesity (OR: 3.0 (1.8–4.8)), and diabetes (OR: 2.0 (1.1–3.5)) [8]. Our study results showed that diabetes mellitus and past smoking were associated with dependency in the IADL. A possible reason for the not seeing of association between other chronic cardiovascular diseases and older adults’ dependency in our study was the simultaneous control of their confounding effects in the models.

In a review study [30], a disagreement between prospective and experimental studies was shown in the effect of late-life physical activity on minimizing functional disability. Several well-conducted prospective studies show a beneficial effect of physical activity on minimizing disability, whereas the majority of experimental studies that have examined disability as an outcome do not show improvements in disability. Our study showed that no physical activity was a risk factor for dependency in both IADL and BADL, which can be resulting from inverse causation bias due to cross-sectional data.

It is worth mentioning that disability and care dependency are not completely interchangeable terms. Dependency in BADL can provide a proxy of disability, but this is not completely the case for IADL. One of the limitations of our study is that the analysis is based only on data from cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors, while much of the association between illness and dependency is due to synergistic effects [31]. The second limitation of the present study is due to the design of our study, which is cross-sectional and cannot confirm the temporal priority of the risk factors and dependency. For example, the association of the dependency in IADL and BADL with decreased physical activity may be justified by the fact that people with disabilities in one domain are more likely to have limitations for having physical activity. This phenomenon is called inverse causation. Another limitation of the study is that although the BEH study is a population-based cohort, it does not include people residing in care facilities. So, the percentage of people with IADL and BADL disability may be higher than it was observed in this study. Therefore, when interpreting and using the results of this study, the above points should be considered.

## Conclusion

After adjusting for other potential cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic confounders, being older and female, having a lower education level and no physical activity are the cardio-metabolic and socio-demographic risk factors that increase the odds of being dependent in both of the BADL and IADL in the older adults. A history of diabetes mellitus and past smoking increases only the odds of being dependent on the IADL, and a high daily intake of calorie is the risk factor that increases just the odds of being dependent on the BADL. Dependency in older adults can be prevented by increasing community literacy, improving physical activity, preventing and controlling diabetes mellitus, avoiding smoking, and reducing daily calorie intake. In order to confirm the above results, other studies are proposed in which other confounding factors are also controlled, and the temporal priority of risk factors on dependency is clear. The results of this study can be used for the practice of geriatrics and health care of older adults.

## Abbreviations

BEH: Bushehr Elderly Health; BADL: Basic Activities of Daily Living; IADL: Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; OR (95%CI): Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval); ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health; ADL: Activities of Daily Living; GBD: Global Burden of Disease; BMI: Body Mass Index; WC: Waist Circumference; CHOD-PAP: Cholesterol Oxidase Phenol Aminoantipyrine; CHE & CHO: Cholesterol Esterase and Cholesterol Oxidase; GPO-PAP: Glycerol-3- Phosphate Oxidase Phenol Aminoantipyrine; HRs: Hazard Ratios; CVD: Cardiovascular Diseases; MI: Myocardial Infarction; DALY: Disability Adjusted Life Years; YLL: Years of Life Lost; YLD: Years lived with disability; LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein; DAG: Directed Acyclic Graph; GOF: Goodness of Fit; eGFR: Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; TG: Triglyceride; PAD: Peripheral Artery Disease

## Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02124-x>.

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** DAG of cardio-metabolic/socio-demographic risk factors and BADL/IADL.

## Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank all the researchers and staff of the Bushehr Elderly Health program.

## Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by BL, IN, AO, RH, GS, SG, FS, and KK. The first draft of the manuscript was written by KK, AA, NF, and MS, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute affiliated to Bushehr (Port) University of Medical Sciences (BPUMS) and Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Institute affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) jointly provided the funding for the study design and data collection of the Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program. Endocrinology and

Metabolism Research Institute affiliated to TUMS provided funding for the data analysis and interpretation, and for writing the manuscript.

## Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the principal investigator of Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Bushehr Elderly Health (BEH) program protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Institute, affiliated to Tehran University of Medical Science (code of ethics: IR.TUMS.EMRI.REC.1394.0036) as well as the Research Ethics Committee of Bushehr University of Medical Sciences (code of ethics: B-91-14-2). Written informed consent was signed by all the participants before enrolment in the study. In case that the participant was not able to read and write, s/he was asked to be accompanied by a literate person to provide him/her necessary explanations in the local dialect, and then the informed consent was signed by both the participants and the companion after making sure that all aspects of the study were understood. This issue had been approved by the ethics committees. Both ethics committees approved obtaining data analyzed for the objectives of the present study from the participants.

### Consent for publication

The Informed consent obtained from the participants included the consent for publication of analyzed data as scientific articles in domestic and international journals.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>3</sup>Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran. <sup>5</sup>Elderly Health Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>6</sup>Chronic Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>7</sup>The Persian Gulf Marine Biotechnology Research Center, the Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran. <sup>8</sup>Endocrinology Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 4 August 2020 Accepted: 1 March 2021

Published online: 09 March 2021

## References

1. WHO. World report on disability 2011 [updated 16 Jan 2018. Available from: <https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/disability-and-health>.
2. Lawton M, Brody E. Instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) scale-self-rated version. *Psychopharmacol Bull.* 1988;24(4):789–91.
3. Wade D, Collin C. The Barthel ADL index: a standard measure of physical disability? *Int Disabil Stud.* 1988;10(2):64–7.
4. Rashedi V, Asadi-Lari M, Foroughan M, Delbari A, Fadayevatan R. Prevalence of disability in Iranian older adults in Tehran, Iran: a population-based study. *J Health Soc Sci.* 2016;1(3):251–62.
5. Tanjani PT, Motlagh ME, Nazar MM, Najafi F. The health status of the elderly population of Iran in 2012. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2015;60(2):281–7.
6. IHME. Findings from the global burden of disease study 2017. Seattle: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation; 2018.

7. Chatterjee A, Harris SB, Leiter LA, Fitchett DH, Teoh H, Bhattacharyya OK. Managing cardiometabolic risk in primary care: summary of the 2011 consensus statement. *Can Fam Physician*. 2012;58(4):389–93.
8. Wong E, Stevenson C, Backholer K, Woodward M, Shaw JE, Peeters A. Predicting the risk of physical disability in old age using modifiable mid-life risk factors. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2015;69(1):70.
9. Tas U, Steyerberg EW, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Hofman A, Koes BW, Verhagen AP. Age, gender and disability predict future disability in older people: the Rotterdam study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2011;11:22.
10. Lestari SK, Ng N, Kowal P, Santosa A. Diversity in the factors associated with ADL-related disability among older people in six middle-income countries: a cross-country comparison. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(8):1341.
11. Martinez-Gomez D, Guallar-Castillon P, Higuera-Fresnillo S, Garcia-Esquinas E, Lopez-Garcia E, Bandinelli S, et al. Physical activity attenuates Total and cardiovascular mortality associated with physical disability: a National Cohort of older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2018;73(2):240–7.
12. Dhamoon MS, Longstreth WT Jr, Bartz TM, Kaplan RC, Elkind MSV. Disability trajectories before and after stroke and myocardial infarction: the cardiovascular health study. *JAMA Neurol*. 2017;74(12):1439–45.
13. Danaei G, Farzadfar F, Kelishadi R, Rashidian A, Rouhani OM, Ahmadnia S, et al. Iran in transition. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10184):1984–2005.
14. Wang H, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Lofgren KT, Rajaratnam JK, Marcus JR, Levin-Reactor A, et al. Age-specific and sex-specific mortality in 187 countries, 1970–2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2071–94.
15. Kalan Farmanfarma K, Kaykhaei MA, Adineh HA, Mohammadi M, Dabiri S, Ansari-Moghaddam A. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Iran: a meta-analysis of 69 studies. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2019;13(1):792–9.
16. Sadeghi M, Haghdoost AA, Bahrapour A, Dehghani M. Modeling the burden of cardiovascular diseases in Iran from 2005 to 2025: the impact of demographic changes. *Iran J Public Health*. 2017;46(4):506–16.
17. Shams-Beyranvand M, Farzadfar F, Naderimagham S, Tirani M, Maracy MR. Estimation of burden of ischemic heart diseases in Isfahan, Iran, 2014: using incompleteness and misclassification adjustment models. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. 2017;16:12.
18. Ostovar A, Nabipour I, Larijani B, Heshmat R, Darabi H, Vahdat K, et al. Bushehr elderly health (BEH) Programme, phase I (cardiovascular system). *BMJ Open*. 2015;5(12):e009597.
19. Shafiee G, Ostovar A, Heshmat R, Darabi H, Sharifi F, Raeisi A, et al. Bushehr elderly health (BEH) programme: study protocol and design of musculoskeletal system and cognitive function (stage II). *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(8):e013606.
20. Hassani Mehraban A, Soltanmohamadi Y, Akbarfahimi M, Taghizadeh G. Validity and reliability of the persian version of lawton instrumental activities of daily living scale in patients with dementia. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2014;28:25.
21. Taheri Tanjani P, Azadbakht M. Psychometric properties of the persian version of the activities of daily living scale and instrumental activities of daily living scale in elderly. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci*. 2016;25(132):103–12.
22. Aadahl M, JØRGENSEN T. Validation of a new self-report instrument for measuring physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2003;35(7):1196–202.
23. Jewell NP. *Statistics for epidemiology: chapman and hall/CRC*; 2003.
24. Cwirlej-Sozanska A, Wisniowska-Szurlej A, Wilmowska-Pietruszynska A, Sozanski B. Determinants of ADL and IADL disability in older adults in southeastern Poland. *BMC Geriatr*. 2019;19(1):297.
25. Connolly D, Garvey J, McKee G. Factors associated with ADL/IADL disability in community dwelling older adults in the Irish longitudinal study on ageing (TILDA). *Disabil Rehabil*. 2017;39(8):809–16.
26. Chalise HN, Saito T, Kai I. Functional disability in activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living among Nepalese Newar elderly. *Public Health*. 2008;122(4):394–6.
27. Villarreal AE, Grajales S, López L, Oviedo DC, Carreira MB, Gómez LA, et al. Limitations in activities of daily living among dementia-free older adults in Panama. *Ageing Int*. 2018;43(2):237–53.
28. Marengoni A, Angleman S, Fratiglioni L. Prevalence of disability according to multimorbidity and disease clustering: a population-based study. *J Comorb*. 2011;1:11–8.
29. Koye DN, Shaw JE, Magliano DJ. Diabetes and disability in older Australians: the Australian diabetes, obesity and lifestyle (AusDiab) study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2017;126:60–7.
30. Keyser JJ. Does late-life physical activity or exercise prevent or minimize disablement?: a critical review of the scientific evidence. *Am J Prev Med*. 2003;25(3):129–36.
31. Fried LP, Bandeen-Roche K, Kasper JD, Guralnik JM. Association of comorbidity with disability in older women: the Women's health and aging study. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1999;52(1):27–37.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

**At BMC, research is always in progress.**

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

