### RESEARCH



# Association between abdominal obesity indices and falls among older communitydwellers in Guangzhou, China: a prospective cohort study

Check for updates

Wei-Quan Lin<sup>1,2†</sup>, Jia-Min Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Le-Xin Yuan<sup>3</sup>, Jing-Ya Wang<sup>4</sup>, Si-Yu Sun<sup>5</sup>, Min-Ying Sun<sup>1,2</sup>, Ying-Ying Fang<sup>1</sup>, Li-Ying Luo<sup>1</sup>, Chang Wang<sup>1</sup> and Hui Liu<sup>1\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background** Central obesity was considered as a risk factor for falls among the older population. Waist circumference (WC), lipid accumulation product (LAP), visceral adiposity index (VAI), and the Chinese visceral adiposity index (CVAI) are considered as surrogate markers for abdominal fat deposition in increasing studies. Nevertheless, the longitudinal relationship between these indices and falls among the older population remains indistinct. This study aimed to explore the association between abdominal obesity indices and falls among older community-dwellers.

**Methods** Our study included 3501 individuals aged ≥ 65 years from the Guangzhou Falls and Health Status Tracking Cohort at baseline in 2021 and then prospectively followed up in 2022. The outcome of interest was the occurrence of falls. The Kaplan-Meier curves and multivariable Cox regression analysis were used to explore the associations between abdominal obesity indices and falls. Moreover, the restricted cubic spline analysis (RCS) was conducted to test the non-linear relationships between abdominal obesity indices and hazards of falls incident.

**Results** After a median follow-up period of 551 days, a total of 1022 participants experienced falls. The cumulative incidence rate of falls was observed to be higher among individuals with central obesity and those falling within the fourth quartile (Q4) of LAP, VAI, and CVAI. Participants with central obesity and those in Q4 of LAP, VAI, and CVAI were associated with higher risk of falls, with hazard ratios (HRs) of 1.422 (HR 95%CI: 1.255–1.611), 1.346 (1.176–1.541), 1.270 (1.108–1.457), 1.322 (1.154–1.514), respectively. Each 1-SD increment in WC, LAP, VAI, and CVAI was a significant increased risk of falls among participants. Subgroup analysis further revealed these results were basically stable and appeared to be significantly stronger among those females, aged 65–69 years, and with body mass index (BMI)  $\geq$  28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Additionally, RCS curves showed an overall upward trend in the risk of falls as the abdominal indices increased.

<sup>†</sup>Wei-Quan Lin and Jia-Min Chen contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Hui Liu gzcdc\_liuhui@163.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

prevention.

Keywords Abdominal obesity indices, Cohort study, Falls, Older population

#### Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that the number of people aged 60 years and older will mushroom to 1.4 billion by 2030 and 2.1 billion by 2050, and this increase will accelerate in the coming decades, particularly within developing countries [1]. Based on the National Bureau of Statistics in China, there are approximate 2.17 million individuals aged 65 years and older, constituting 15.4% of the total population in 2023 [2]. Falls are defined as an event which results in a person coming to rest inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level, and can occur on one level of from a height [3]. Studies have reported older people are considered as a high risk group of falls, and falls incidence rises sharply with advancing age [4]. Previous studies showed that the prevalence of falls among older people varied from 8.59 to 39.7% [5-10]. A recent study showed the prevalence of falls among older community-dwellers was 22.49% in China [11].

Falls often trigger a downward spiral in health like head injuries and hip fractures [12], activity restriction [13], reduces life-quality, and also brings inestimable economic and healthcare burden to family and society. A estimation from WHO reveals that more than 684,000 deaths related to falls and an estimated 172 million suffer from short-term or long-term disabilities [3]. And falls are investigated as the second leading reason of unintentional injury deaths and the number one cause of injury-related mortality among people aged 65 years and older [3, 14]. Data from the Guangzhou Injury Monitoring System presents falls-related injury was consistently the first place from year 2014 to 2018 [15]. Falls among the older population have become a worldwide public health issue, and this issue is particularly pronounced in China, a nation with a large population of older individuals. Therefore, it is urgent to identify the risk factors associated with falls and to implement feasible and effective strategies for preventing falls among older population in China.

Previous studies demonstrated that obesity was a risk factor for falls, especially in the older population [16, 17]. Overweight or obesity is a general definition of body fat condition, and is frequently measured by body mass index (BMI). However, BMI, which measures both fat mass and lean mass [18], has deficiencies in distinguishing muscle from fat mass [19]. In recent years, instead of focusing on overall adiposity, adipose tissue distribution was applied in predicting health issues [20–22].

Moreover, increasing evidences have shown that central adiposity was a stronger predictor of falls than BMI among the older individuals with obesity [23–25]. It was known that abdominal adiposity could be accurately detected by radiological imaging techniques, including dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), and dual bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) [26, 27]. However radiological measurements might not be widely accepted by the public or applied for routine clinical practices on account of complex operations, high costs, and radiation exposure hazards [28, 29].

As credible surrogate-indicators, abdominal obesity indices, including waist circumference (WC), lipid accumulation product (LAP), the visceral adiposity index (VAI), and Chinese visceral adiposity index (CVAI), have been established to evaluate abdominal adiposity, which were feasible, easily accessible and reliable. These indices combine anthropometric and lipid parameters and were calculated by structural formulas, which were believed superior to traditional anthropometric parameters, and could discriminate between subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue [30]. As surrogate-indicators of abdominal obesity, these indices have been studied in many health areas and were proved to have impacts on health outcome predicting [30, 31]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the relationship between novel abdominal obesity indices (LAP, VAI, CVAI) and falls is unclear.

We therefore conducted a prospective cohort study, and aimed to explore the association between abdominal obesity indices (WC, LAP, VAI, CVAI) and falls among older community-dwellers in China.

#### Methods

#### Study design and participants

The Guangzhou Falls and Health Status Tracking Cohort (GFHSTC) is a longitudinal, population-based study in which participants were recruited from community healthcare centers in eleven counties of Guangzhou, China. Briefly, the GFHSTC study was designed to explore the associations between demographic information, lifestyle factors, chronic diseases, auxiliary examinations on health outcomes. This study depends on the GFHSTC. Ethical approval for this study protocol was granted by the Ethic Committee of Guangzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention (GZCDC-ECHR-2023P0061). Self-designed questionnaires were adopted in the present study, which was constituted by baseline information, health examination and follow-up healthcare interview (Supplementary material 1). Pre-survey was carried out among 100 participants, which demonstrated that the self-designed questionnaires were feasible and could meet the research needs.

A total of 4950 eligible residents aged  $\geq$ 65 years old from 11 counties of Guangzhou were recruited in this study in 2021, with an average 450 participants from each district. The baseline survey and health examination were conducted. And a falls and health follow-up survey was completed in 2022. The recruited participants were followed up until 2022. Finally, 3501 participants were enrolled in the analysis. The other 1449 individuals were excluded owing to response missing (*n*=965), survey refusing (*n*=426) and data missing (*n*=58) during the whole follow-up period (Fig. 1).

#### Baseline data collection and definitions

Baseline questionnaires (Supplementary material 1) were used to collect information on demographic characteristics (age, gender, ethnicity, marital status, education and location), lifestyle factors (cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking and physical exercise), medical history (hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease and COPD) by well-trained clinic staff following standard procedures. Age was grouped by 65–69, 70–74, 75–79, and ≥80. Marital status was categorized as married and single (unmarried, divorced and widowed). Education status was classified as primary school or below, secondary school, and college or further. The participant's location was categorized as urban or rural. Smoking, drinking and physical exercise were categorized by current status (yes or no). Medical status was diagnosed by clinic examination or self-report at baseline interview.

Moreover, anthropometric measurements (WC, height and weight) were performed at base line by trained physicians or nurses, following standardized protocols. Body height and weight were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.1 kg while participants were wearing light indoor clothing without shoes. WC was measured at the umbilical level with participant in standing position. After a 10 min rest, blood pressure (BP) of participants was measured two times using a digital sphygmomanometer, and the mean of the two readings was used for analysis. After an overnight fast, the participants' venous blood were collected for laboratory examinations. Total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG), fasting blood glucose (FBG) were measured following standard protocols in the laboratory of the community healthcare center.

BMI, LAP [32], VAI [33], and CVAI [34] was calculated as the following formulas:

$$BMI = weight(kg) / height^2(m)$$

$$VAI (male) = \left[\frac{WC (cm)}{39.68 + 1.88 \times BMI (kg/m^2)}\right] \times \left[\frac{TG(mmol/L}{1.03}\right] \times \left[\frac{1.31}{HDL (mmol/L)}\right]$$
$$VAI (female) = \left[\frac{WC (cm)}{36.58 + 1.89 \times BMI (kg/m^2)}\right] \times \left[\frac{TG(mmol/L)}{0.81}\right] \times \left[\frac{1.52}{HDL (mmol/L)}\right]$$



 $LAP(male) = [WC(cm) - 65] \times TG(mmol/L)$ 

 $LAP(female) = [WC(cm) - 58] \times TG(mmol/L)$ 

 $\begin{aligned} CVAI \ (male) &= -267.93 + 0.68 \times age \ (years) \\ + 0.03 \times BMI \ (kg/m^2) + 4.00 \times WC \ (cm) \\ + 22.00 \times LgTG \ (mmol/L) - 16.32 \times HDL \ (mmol/L) \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} CVAI \left(female\right) &= -187.32 + 1.71 \times age \left(years\right) \\ &+ 4.32 \times BMI \left(kg/m^2\right) + 1.12 \times WC \left(cm\right) \\ &+ 39.76 \times LgTG \left(mmol/L\right) - 11.66 \times HDL \left(mmol/L\right) \end{aligned}$ 

#### Followup and outcomes assessment

This study tracked participants from the baseline of January 2021 to December 2022. Participants were followed up annually through one-to-one healthcare interview and comprehensive health examination by professional staff in community healthcare centers. The primary outcome of this study is the occurrence of falls among older community-dwellers. Falls were defined as an event which results in a person inadvertently and unexpectably coming to rest on the ground or floor or other lower level, from a height [4]. Outcomes were collected through healthcare interview in 2022, by asking participants the following two questions: "Have you ever fallen during the follow-up period (year 2021–2022)?" and "When did you fall?" (Supplementary material 1).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were done with R (version 4.2.1) and SPSS (version 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were summarized as number(percentages), mean±standard deviation (SD), or median (interguartile range) where appropriate. The Chi-square test was performed for categorical data, and the Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test was for continuous data, when comparing the differences between the two groups. Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted to describe the cumulative incidence rates of falls by groups according to the incident of central obesity and the quartiles of abdominal obesity indices and the log-rank test were used for comparison. Referring to the two-category of WC, we determine the third quartile (the 75th percentile) as the cut point to categorize the data of LAP, VAI and CVAI in the statistical analysis. Cox proportional-hazards regression analysis was utilized to calculate the hazard ratios (HRs) of falls across the incident of central obesity and the quartiles of the LAP, VAI, and CVAI. Abdominal obesity indices were analyzed as categorical variables, and also continuous variables by estimating the risk of falls associated with one SD increase. Model 1 was a crude model without adjustment. Model 2 was adjusted for gender and age. And Model 3 adjusted for gender, age, ethnic groups, marital status, education, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, physical exercise, hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease additionally. Subgroup analysis were conducted based on gender, age, and BMI. Moreover, the restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis was conducted to test the non-linear relationships between abdominal obesity indices and hazards of falls incidents. *P* value<0.05 was considered statistical significance.

#### Results

#### **Baseline characteristics**

Overall, 3501 participants aged≥65 years old were enrolled for current analysis, and the mean age was 71.43±5.54 years at baseline. At a median follow-up of 551 days, 1022 (29.19%) participants experienced falls. The baseline characteristics of participants suffered falls at follow-up were presented in Table 1. In comparison to those without falls, participants who suffered falls tended to be female, older, single, primary school or below, rural living, less physical exercises, with hypertension or diabetes. Besides, they also had higher SBP, triglyceride, FBG, BMI, WC, LAP, VAI, CVAI, or lower HDL cholesterol (all *P* value<0.05, Table 1). In addition, no statistical differences were found in the univariate analysis of ethnic groups, alcohol drinking, coronary heart disease, COPD, DBP, total cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol (all P value>0.05).

#### Cumulative incidence of falls according to quartiles of abdominal obesity indices

Kaplan-Meier curves for incident falls among participants by quartiles of abdominal obesity indices were applied (Fig. 2). Participants with central obesity were correlated with a higher risk of falls. Moreover, in contrast to the individuals in Q1-Q3 of LAP, VAI, CVAI, those in Q4 had an elevated higher risk of falls (all logrank tests P<0.01).

#### Relationship between abdominal obesity indices and falls

The Cox regression models were employed to examine the correlations of abdominal obesity indices with the risk of falls among older community-dwellers (Table 2). Compared to the lower WC (Male:<90 cm; Female<85 cm) and lower quartile groups (Q1 - Q3) of LAP, VAI, and CVAI, those exhibiting central obesity (Male: $\geq$ 90 cm; Female $\geq$ 85 cm), and belonging to the higher quartile group (Q4), demonstrated a higher risk of falls. This finding was consistent across both the crude model (Model 1) and the adjusted models (Model 2, 3), with fully adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) of 1.422 (95%CI: 1.255–1.611), 1.346 (95%CI: 1.176–1.541), 1.270 (95%CI: 1.108–1.457), and 1.322 (95%CI: 1.154–1.514), respectively.

#### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of participants according to the falls status at follow-up

Gender          Male       1691 (48.30)       1262 (50.91)       429 (41.98)         Female       1810 (51.70)       1217 (49.09)       593 (58.02)         Age, years       71.43±5.54       71.09±5.36       72.24±5.86       <         Age groups, years             65-69       1714 (48.96)       1279 (51.59)       435 (42.56)          70-74       1047 (29.91)       728 (29.37)       319 (31.21)         75-79       442 (12.62)       285 (11.50)       157 (15.36)         ≥ 80       298 (8.51)       187 (7.54)       111 (10.86)	0.001 0.001 0.001 999 .005 0.001
Male       1691 (48.30)       1262 (50.91)       429 (41.98)         Female       1810 (51.70)       1217 (49.09)       593 (58.02)         Age, years       71.43 ± 5.54       71.09 ± 5.36       72.24 ± 5.86       <         Age groups, years       71.43 ± 5.54       71.09 ± 5.36       72.24 ± 5.86       <         65-69       1714 (48.96)       1279 (51.59)       435 (42.56)          70-74       1047 (29.91)       728 (29.37)       319 (31.21)         75-79       442 (12.62)       285 (11.50)       157 (15.36)         ≥ 80       298 (8.51)       187 (7.54)       111 (10.86)	0.001 0.001 999 .005 0.001
Female       1810 (51.70)       1217 (49.09)       593 (58.02)         Age, years       71.43 ± 5.54       71.09 ± 5.36       72.24 ± 5.86       <         Age groups, years              65-69       1714 (48.96)       1279 (51.59)       435 (42.56)           70-74       1047 (29.91)       728 (29.37)       319 (31.21)           75-79       442 (12.62)       285 (11.50)       157 (15.36)            ≥80       298 (8.51)       187 (7.54)       111 (10.86)	0.001 0.001 999 .005 0.001
Age, years     71.43±5.54     71.09±5.36     72.24±5.86     <       Age groups, years     71.09±5.36     72.24±5.86     <       65-69     1714 (48.96)     1279 (51.59)     435 (42.56)       70-74     1047 (29.91)     728 (29.37)     319 (31.21)       75-79     442 (12.62)     285 (11.50)     157 (15.36)       ≥ 80     298 (8.51)     187 (7.54)     111 (10.86)	0.001 0.001 999 .005 0.001
Age groups, years            65-69         1714 (48.96)         1279 (51.59)         435 (42.56)           70-74         1047 (29.91)         728 (29.37)         319 (31.21)           75-79         442 (12.62)         285 (11.50)         157 (15.36)           ≥ 80         298 (8.51)         187 (7.54)         111 (10.86)	0.001 999 .005 0.001
$65-69$ $1714 (48.96)$ $1279 (51.59)$ $435 (42.56)$ $70-74$ $1047 (29.91)$ $728 (29.37)$ $319 (31.21)$ $75-79$ $442 (12.62)$ $285 (11.50)$ $157 (15.36)$ $\geq 80$ $298 (8.51)$ $187 (7.54)$ $111 (10.86)$	999 .005 0.001
70-74       1047 (29.91)       728 (29.37)       319 (31.21)         75-79       442 (12.62)       285 (11.50)       157 (15.36)         ≥ 80       298 (8.51)       187 (7.54)       111 (10.86)	999 .005 0.001
75-79       442 (12.62)       285 (11.50)       157 (15.36)         ≥ 80       298 (8.51)       187 (7.54)       111 (10.86)	999 .005 0.001
≥ 80 298 (8.51) 187 (7.54) 111 (10.86)	999 .005 0.001
Ethnic groups	999 .005 0.001
	.005 0.001
Han 3497 (99.89) 2476 (99.88) 1021 (99.90)	.005 0.001
Else $4(0.11)$ $3(0.12)$ $1(0.10)$	.005 0.001
Marital status	0.001
Married 3180 (90.83) 2272 (91.65) 908 (88.85)	0.001
Single <sup>a</sup> 321 (917) 207 (8 35) 114 (11 15)	0.001
Education	0.001
Primary school or below 072 (27.76) 650 (26.22) 322 (31.51)	
Secondary school of below 572 (27.76) 050 (20.22) 522 (31.51)	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Collage or further} \\ \text{Collage or further} \\$	
College of fulfiler 801 (22.86) 588 (25.72) 215 (20.84)	0 001
LOCATION 2700 (77.19) 1072 (70.50) 700 (71.22)	0.001
Orbitil         2/02 (7.16)         1975 (79.59)         729 (71.55)           Drumel         700 (22.92)         F0C (20.41)         202 (20.67)	
Rural 799 (22.82) 500 (20.41) 293 (28.07)	040
Cigarette smoking 0	.049
Yes         453 (12.94)         339 (13.07)         114 (11.15)           Nu         2040 (07.00)         2140 (00.23)         2000 (00.05)	
NO 3048 (87.06) 2140 (86.33) 908 (88.85)	0.00
Alconol drinking 0	068
Yes 423 (12.08) 316 (12.75) 10/ (10.47)	
No 30/8 (8/.92) 2163 (8/.25) 915 (89.53)	
Physical exercise <	0.001
Yes 2629 (75.09) 1923 (77.57) 706 (69.08)	
No 8/2 (24.91) 556 (22.43) 316 (30.92)	
Hypertension <	0.001
Yes 2179 (62.24) 1487 (59.98) 692 (67.71)	
No 1322 (37.76) 992 (40.02) 330 (32.29)	
Diabetes <	0.001
Yes 1325 (37.85) 856 (34.53) 469 (45.89)	
No 2176 (62.15) 1623 (65.47) 553 (54.11)	
Coronary heart disease 0	155
Yes 343 (9.80) 231 (9.32) 112 (10.96)	
No 3158 (90.20) 2248 (90.68) 910 (89.04)	
<b>COPD</b> 0	629
Yes 19 (0.54) 12 (0.48) 7 (0.68)	
No 3482 (99.46) 2467 (99.52) 1015 (99.32)	
<b>SBP</b> , <b>mmHg</b> 137.31±16.86 136.89±16.92 138.33±16.67 <b>0</b>	.022
<b>DBP</b> , mmHg 79.55±9.88 79.58±9.90 79.49±9.83 0	797
Total cholesterol, mmol/L         5.28±1.21         5.30±1.19         5.22±1.23         0	077
HDL cholesterol, mmol/L         1.42 ± 0.39         1.43 ± 0.38         1.39 ± 0.40         0	.009
<b>LDL cholesterol, mmol/L</b> 3.16±1.01 3.17±1.01 3.15±1.01 0	501
Triglyceride, mmol/L         1.44 (1.03, 2.04)         1.40 (1.00, 1.98)         1.56 (1.11, 2.23)         <	0.001
FBG, mmol/L         6.55±2.44         6.41±2.21         6.90±2.89         <	0.001
Body mass index, kg/m2 24.26±3.21 24.05±3.17 24.77±3.26 <	0.001
Waist circumference, cm         85.70±8.70         85.03±8.78         87.34±8.26	0.001

#### Table 1 (continued)

Variables	Total	Without falls (n = 2,479)	With falls	Р
	( <i>n</i> =3,501)	( <i>n</i> = 1,022)		
LAP	34.40	32.30	39.22	< 0.001
	(21.34, 54.72)	(19.80, 51.03)	(24.81, 62.39)	
VAI	1.68	1.58	1.97	< 0.001
	(1.05, 2.70)	(1.00, 2541)	(1.20, 3.00)	
CVAI	125.32	121.75	133.07	< 0.001
	(100.65, 151.44)	(96.35, 147.78)	(108.93, 161.50)	

Note Data are summarized as n (%), Mean±SD, or Median (IQR). SD: standard deviation, WC: waist circumference, LAP: lipid accumulation product, VAI: visceral adiposity index, CVAI: Chinese visceral adiposity index

<sup>a</sup> Single: unmarried, divorced or widowed



**Fig. 2** Cumulative incidence of falls according to quartiles of abdominal obesity indices of participants. Note: **a**: WC: waist circumference, Center obesity: Yes: Male WC  $\geq$  90 cm, or female WC  $\geq$  85 cm; **b**: LAP: lipid accumulation product, Q1-Q3 ( $\leq$  54.72), Q4 (>54.72); **c**: VAI: visceral adiposity index, Q1-Q3( $\leq$  2.70), Q4 (>2.70); **d**: CVAI: Chinese visceral adiposity index, Q1-Q3 ( $\leq$  151.44), Q4 (>151.44)

When all abdominal obesity indices were applied as continuous variables in analysis, the positive association remained robust. After adjusting for all covariates (Model 3), each 1-SD increase in WC, LAP, VAI, and CVAI was related with a higher risk of falls among older community-dwellers, with adjusted HR being 1.265 (95%CI: 1.189–1.346), 1.182 (95%CI: 1.130–1.237), 1.150 (95%CI: 1.096–1.207), 1.013 (95% CI: 1.010–1.017), respectively.

### Subgroup analysis of the relationship between abdominal obesity indices and the falls

Subgroup analysis further revealed these results were basically stable and reliable, and the majority of subgroups of WC, LAP, VAI, and CVAI showed significantly higher risk of falls (Fig. 3). The effects of WC, LAP, VAI, CVAI on falls were more pronounced in females, participants aged 65–69 years, and those with BMI≥28 kg/

Table 2 Associations between baseline abdominal obesity indices (both categorical and continuous variables) and falls of participants

Variables	Fallers/Total	Model 1, HR (95%CI) <sup>a</sup>	Model 2, HR (95%CI) <sup>b</sup>	Model 3, HR (95%CI) <sup>c</sup>
Continuous variables				
wc				
Per 1 SD increase	1022/3501	1.246 (1.172–1.324)***	1.299(1.221–1.381)***	1.265(1.189–1.346)***
LAP				
Per 1 SD increase	1022/3501	1.231(1.179–1.286)****	1.226(1.172–1.282)***	1.182(1.130–1.237)***
VAI				
Per 1 SD increase	1022/3501	1.188(1.138–1.242)***	1.177(1.124–1.233)***	1.150(1.096–1.207)***
CVAI				
Per 1 SD increase	1022/3501	1.017(1.014-1.020)**	1.015(1.012-11.018)**	1.013(1.010-1.017)**
Categorical variables				
Central obesity				
No(WC: Male:<90 cm; Female < 85 cm)	531/1528	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes (WC: Male:≥90 cm; Female≥85 cm)	491/1973	1.490(1.318–1.684)****	1.481(1.310–1.676)***	1.422(1.255–1.611)***
LAP Quartiles				
Q1-Q3 (≤54.72)	696/2610	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q4 (>54.72)	326/891	1.476(1.293–1.684)****	1.431(1.253–1.635)***	1.346(1.176–1.541)***
VAI Quartiles				
Q1-Q3(≤2.70)	700/2588	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q4 (>2.70)	322/913	1.405(1.230-1.606)***	1.339(1.169–1.534)***	1.270(1.108–1.457)***
CVAI Quartiles				
Q1-Q3 (≤ 151.44)	693/2621	Ref	Ref	Ref
Q4 (>151.44)	329/880	1.525(1.337-1.739)***	1.395(1.219–1.596)***	1.322(1.154–1.514)***

Note HR: hazard ratio, 95%CI: 95% confidence interval, SD: standard deviation, WC: waist circumference, LAP: lipid accumulation product, VAI: visceral adiposity index, CVAI: Chinese visceral adiposity index

<sup>a</sup> Model 1: Crude model

<sup>b</sup> Model 2: Adjusted for gender and age

<sup>c</sup> Model 3: Adjusted for gender, age, ethnic groups, marital status, education, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, physical exercise, hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001

m<sup>2</sup>. Compared to the subgroup with BMI<28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, the obese group (BMI $\geq$ 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) showed a notably stronger association of each index with falls (Fig. 3).

## Restricted cubic splines analysis of the relationship between abdominal obesity indices and the falls

Multivariable-adjusted RCS analysis (Fig. 4) revealed a significant rising trend in the risk of falls as the WC, LAP, VAI, CVAI increased (all *P* value for overall and non-linear<0.05).

#### Discussions

Overweight and obesity have gradually become worldwide public health issues. Study showed China has a huge obese population, where over 15% adults were overall obese and over 35% suffered from abdominal obesity [35]. Previous studies illustrated that obesity and abdominal obesity had a positive correlation with falls [16, 17, 23, 36]. Abdominal obesity indices, as credible surrogate-indicators of abdominal adipose, has been proved to have significant impacts on health outcome predicting [30, 31]. Nevertheless, the predictive effects of abdominal obesity indices on falls are unclear, especially in the older population. In present cohort study, abdominal obesity indices, including WC, LAP, VAI, CVAI, were demonstrated to be positively associated with falls among the older community-dwellers. Our study adds to the existing evidences revealing significantly associations between abdominal obesity indices and falls among the older community-dwellers.

Our study found that central obesity (Male WC≥90 cm, Female WC≥85 cm) leads to a higher cumulative incidence rate of falls, and was associated with higher risk of falls when compared with normal WC, which were consistent with Cox regression analysis. Moreover, RCS analysis revealed that a significant dose-response relationship between WC and falls, indicating that the risk of falls increases concomitantly with a rise in WC. This finding was in accordance with previous research, revealing a positive association of WC with falls [37]. According to the existing evidences, the potential mechanism is that abdominal obesity is believed to increase pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-alpha, which can stimulate bone osteoclastogenesis, resulting in the increase of bone resorption [38]. This mechanism suggests that abdominal obesity

WC       1022/3501       29.19       →       1422(1255-1.611)       < 0.001
Gender $\rightarrow$ 1.330(1.095-1.616)       < 0.001         Female       593/1810       32.76 $\rightarrow$ 1.503(1.276-1.772)       < 0.001         Age groups, years $\rightarrow$ 1.608(1.325-1.951)       < 0.001         270       587/1787       32.84 $\rightarrow$ 1.268(1.076-1.495)       0.005         BMI, kg/m2 $\sim$ 1.351(1.177-1.549)       < 0.001 $\sim$ 28       855/3051       28.02 $\rightarrow$ 1.346(1.176-1.481)       < 0.002         BMI, kg/m2 $\sim$ 1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001 $\simeq$ 28       167/450       37.11 $\rightarrow$ 1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001         Gender $\sim$ 1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001 $\sim$
Male       429/1691       25.36       →       1.330(1.095-1.616)       < 0.001         Female       593/1810       32.76       →       1.503(1.276-1.772)       < 0.001
Female       593/1810       32.76       →       1.503(1.276-1.772)       <0.011
Age groups, years       435/1714       25.40        1.608(1.325.1.951)       < 0.001
65-69       435/1714       25.40       →       1.608(1.325-1.951)       < 0.011
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
BMI, kg/m2 $<28$ 855/3051       28.02 $\geq 28$ 167/450       37.11         LAP       1022/3501       29.19         Gender       1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
≥28       167/450       37.11       →→ 3.182(1.171-8.647)       0.023         LAP       1022/3501       29.19       →       1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001
LAP       1022/3501       29.19       →       1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001
LAP       1022/3501       29.19       →→       1.346(1.176-1.541)       < 0.001         Gender
Gender       Male       429/1691       25.36       →       1.280(1.018-1.610)       0.034         Female       593/1810       32.76       →       1.399(1.183-1.655)       < 0.001
Male       429/1691       25.36       →       1.280(1.018-1.610)       0.034         Female       593/1810       32.76       →       1.399(1.183-1.655)       < 0.001         Age groups, years   <
Female       593/1810       32.76       →       1.399(1.183-1.655) < 0.001         Age groups, years       -       -       1.540(1.259-1.883) < 0.001
Age groups, years       65-69       435/1714       25.40       →       1.540(1.259-1.883) < 0.001
65-69       435/1714       25.40       →       1.540(1.259-1.883) < 0.001
≥70       587/1787       32.84       -       1.213(1.010-1.458)       0.039         BMI, kg/m2        -       1.232(1.053-1.443)       0.009         ≥28       167/450       37.11       -       1.585(1.145-2.194)       0.005         VAI       1022/3501       29.19       - <td< td=""></td<>
BMI, kg/m2
<28
≥28       167/450       37.11       1.585(1.145-2.194)       0.005         VAI       1022/3501       29.19       -       1.270(1.108-1.457)       < 0.001
VAI       1022/3501       29.19        1.270(1.108-1.457)       < 0.001         Gender               Male       429/1691       25.36        1.442(1.140-1.824)       0.002         Female       593/1810       32.76        1.211(1.023-1.432)       0.025         Age groups, years              65-69       435/1714       25.40        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84        1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2        1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
VAI       1022/3501       29.19        1.270(1.108-1.457)       < 0.001         Gender              Male       429/1691       25.36        1.442(1.140-1.824)       0.002         Female       593/1810       32.76        1.211(1.023-1.432)       0.025         Age groups, years        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84        1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2        1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
Gender       Male       429/1691       25.36       ●       1.442(1.140-1.824)       0.002         Female       593/1810       32.76       ●       1.211(1.023-1.432)       0.025         Age groups, years       ●       1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84       ●       1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2         1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
Male       429/1691       25.36        1.442(1.140-1.824)       0.002         Female       593/1810       32.76        1.211(1.023-1.432)       0.025         Age groups, years        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84        1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2        1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
Female       593/1810       32.76        1.211(1.023-1.432)       0.025         Age groups, years        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         65-69       435/1714       25.40        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84        1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2        1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
Age groups, years       65-69       435/1714       25.40        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84        1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2        1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
65-69       435/1714       25.40        1.294(1.051-1.593)       0.015         ≥70       587/1787       32.84        1.267(1.055-1.521)       0.011         BMI, kg/m2        1.211(1.039-1.411)       0.014
≥70 587/1787 32.84 1.267(1.055-1.521) 0.011 BMI, kg/m2
BMI, kg/m2
≥28 167/450 37.11
CVAI 1022/3501 29.19 1.322(1.154-1.514) < 0.001
Gender
Male 429/1691 25.36 1.251(0.995-1.573) 0.055
Female 593/1810 32.76 1.400(1.181-1.661) < 0.001
Age groups, years
65-69 435/1714 25.40 1.682(1.364-2.074) < 0.001
≥70 587/1787 32.84 - 1.159(0.971-1.383) 0.102
BMI, kg/m2
<28 855/3051 28.02 1.219(1.032-1.439) 0.019
≥28 167/450 37.11 1.595(1.068-2.384) 0.023
05 1 2 2 25
$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ \leftarrow \end{array}}_{i} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ i \\$

Fig. 3 Associations between abdominal obesity indices and falls of participants in different subgroups. Note: HR: hazard ratio, 95%CI: 95% confidence interval, WC: waist circumference. LAP: lipid accumulation product, VAI: visceral adiposity index, CVAI: Chinese visceral adiposity index. Each subgroup was adjusted for gender, age, ethnic groups, marital status, education, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, physical exercise, hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Hazard ratios show the risk of falls among participants with central obesity or those in Q4 of LAP, VAI and CVAI, compared with those without central obesity and those in Q1-Q3



Fig. 4 Restricted cubic splines analysis of the relationship between abdominal obesity indices and the risk of falls among of participants Note: HR: hazard ratio, 95%CI: 95% confidence interval. **a**: WC: waist circumference, **b**: LAP: lipid accumulation product, **c**: VAI: visceral adiposity index, **d**: CVAI: Chinese visceral adiposity index. HR is adjusted as model 3: Adjusted for gender, age, ethnic groups, marital status, education, cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, physical exercise, hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

might lead to bone frailty, which tends to have negatives effect on gait steady. And in fact, it was demonstrated that large WC led to poor postural alignment, weak gait speed, and decreased joint flexibility in older population [39–41], and abdominal obesity reduced the muscle power, manoeuvering ability and the speed to completely recover footing during a fall [42]. All of the evidences above revealed people with large WC were more likely to fall, and they needed better motor balance capacity to avoid falls. Considering WC detection is feasible, easily accessible, free of machine or complex technique, it is meaningful to conduct WC test in daily clinical practice for falls prevention.

Our study also found that LAP, VAI, and CVAI were associated with elevated cumulative incidence rate of falls, and Cox regression models were also exhibited significantly correlations. Study has demonstrated CVAI as a reliable surrogate indicator in evaluating visceral fat mass among Chinese population [34]. In addition, LAP, VAI, and CVAI were valuable indicators and had significantly positive associations with high risk of cardiovascular (CV) events [30, 31]. And CV events, such as stroke, had been determined as risk factors for falls [43]. We speculate the potential explanation of the positive effect of LAP, VAI, and CVAI on falls might be the mediating impact of CV events. Moreover, RCS analysis revealed that a significant rising trend in the risk of falls as the LAP, VAI, CVAI increased. Our study revealed that abdominal obesity, as LAP, VAI and CVAI are worth more attention in risk management and prevention strategies making for falls among the older community-dwellers.

In the present study, we also found that the majority of subgroups of WC, LAP, VAI, and CVAI showed significantly higher risk of falls in subgroup analysis, which revealed these results were basically stable and reliable. Interestingly, the correlation between WC, LAP, VAI, CVAI and falls exhibited stronger in female, participants aged 65–69 years and those with BMI≥28 kg/m2. When

compared with men, females were more vulnerable to falls, which is accordant with previous surveys findings [44–46]. And female are more likely to suffer from osteoporosis as a result of the lack of estrogen after menopause, leading to rapid decline of muscle strength [10], subsequently increasing the risk of falls. Despite the fact that the incidence of falls among participants aged  $\geq$  70 vears exceeded that of those aged 65-69 years (32.84% VS 25.40%), it was surprising to observe that the HR was significantly elevated in the subgroup aged 65-69 years, in contrast to the subgroup aged  $\geq$  70 years, in the subgroup analysis conducted. A potential explanation could be that individuals aged≥70 years are more prone to having poorer physical conditions, which may contribute more significantly to falls than abdominal obesity indices do. Moreover, subgroup analysis showed the associations were significantly pronounced among individuals with BMI $\geq$ 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. As previous finding claimed that older individuals with healthy BMI exhibited better balance and postural steady than those with obese BMI [47], we might suggest participants with BMI $\geq$ 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup> suffered from worse balance and postural steady, both of those were admitted risk factors of falls. Thus, it underlines the importance of WC evaluation for falls prevention, especially among female individuals with overall obesity.

Some strengths should be introduced in this study. To the best of our knowledge, it is the firstly prospective cohort study to examine the relationship between novel abdominal obesity indices (LAP, VAI, CVAI) and falls among older community-dwellers in China. Meanwhile, a total of 3501 participants were recruited from 11 counties, encompassing all the counties of Guangzhou, thereby ensuring a certain degree of representativeness. Finally, this study adjusted for underlying confounding factors where possible, as well as explored subgroup tests and RCS analysis to further examine the correlations between abdominal obesity indices and falls among older community-dwellers in China.

Several potential limitations in our study need to be mentioned. Firstly, we valued the abdominal obesity indices by formulates conducted by previous studies, instead of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Secondly, measurement bias and recall bias might be introduced. Thirdly, although we tried to control for the main characteristics that may modify the relationship between abdominal obesity indices and falls, some potential confounders still need to be considered, such as depression. Last but not least, although the study had a limited follow-up period, we solely focused on exploring the influence of baseline indices on falls, and did not assess the impact of changes in indices over the duration of the cohort period.

#### Conclusion

The present prospective cohort study showed that abdominal obesity indices, as WC, LAP, VAI, and CVAI, were associated with falls among older community-dwellers in Guangzhou, China. Subgroup and RCS analysis further confirmed these robust relations. The reduction of abdominal obesity indices may potentially mitigate the risk of falls and be proposed as a viable strategy for the prevention of falls among older community-dwellers.

#### Abbreviations

WC	Waist circumference
LAP	Lipid accumulation product
VAI	Visceral adiposity index
CVAI	Chinese visceral adiposity index
BMI	Body mass index
SD	Standard deviation
RCS	Restricted cubic spline analysis
HR	Hazard ratio
SD	Standard deviation
WHO	The World Health Organization
DEXA	Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
CT	Computed tomography
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
BIA	Bioelectrical impedance analysis
GFHSTC	The Guangzhou Falls and Health Status Tracking Cohort
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
BP	Blood pressure
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
TC	Total cholesterol
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
TG	Triglycerides
FBG	Fasting blood glucose
CV	Cardiovascular

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12877-024-05319-0.

Supplementary Material 1

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge all participants, researchers, medical staffs for their support and assistance in this study.

#### Author contributions

WQL, JMC, MYS, SYS, YYF, CW and HL supervised the study data collection and quality control. WQL, LXY, and JYW conducted the literature review. WQL and LYL conducted the data analyses.JMC and WQL draft the manuscript, WQL and HL finalized the manuscript with inputs from all authors.

#### Funding

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (72104061); Science and Technology Plan Project of Guangzhou (202201010022); The Science Technology Project of Guangzhou Municipal Health Commission (2024A031007, 20241A011055); Basic and Applied Research Project of Guangzhou (SL2022A03J01446).

#### Data availability

The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethic Committee of Guangzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention (GZCDC-ECHR-2023P0061). All participants provided written informed consent.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Basic Public Health, Center for Disease Control and Prevention of Guangzhou, Guangzhou City 510440, China <sup>2</sup>Institute of Public Health, Guangzhou Medical University & Guangzhou Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Guangzhou City 510440, China

<sup>3</sup>Guangzhou Huiai Hospital, Brain Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou City 510440, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B152TT, UK

<sup>5</sup>School of Public Health, Southern Medical University,

Guangzhou City 511436, China

#### Received: 21 May 2024 / Accepted: 20 August 2024 Published online: 04 September 2024

#### References

- WHO, Aging. oveview. Available online at: https://www.who.int/ health-topics/ageing#tab=tab\_1
- 2. National Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China. Statistical Bulletin of National Economic and Social Development for 2023. Available online at: https://www.stats.gov.cn/sj/zxfb/202402/t20240228\_1947915.html
- 3. WHO. Step safely: strategies for preventing and managing Falls across the life course. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
- Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi M, Abbasifard M, Abbasi-Kangevari M, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdelalim A, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet (London England). 2020;396(10258):1204–22.
- Lin XZ, Meng RL, Peng DD, Li C, Zheng XY, Xu HF, Xu XJ, Lin LF. Cross-sectional study on prevalence and risk factors for falls among the elderly in communities of Guangdong province, China. BMJ open. 2022;12(11):e062257.
- Hong Z, Xu L, Zhou J, Sun L, Li J, Zhang J, Hu F, Gao Z. The relationship between self-rated economic Status and Falls among the Elderly in Shandong Province, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020, 17(6).
- Chen X, Lin Z, Gao R, Yang Y, Li L. Prevalence and Associated Factors of Falls among older adults between Urban and Rural areas of Shantou City, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021, 18(13).
- Alanazi A, Salih S. Fall prevalence and Associated Risk factors among the Elderly Population in Tabuk City, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study 2023. Cureus. 2023;15(9):e45317.
- Alabdullgader A, Rabbani U. Prevalence and risk factors of Falls among the Elderly in Unaizah City, Saudi Arabia. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2021;21(1):e86–93.
- Lin WQ, Lin L, Sun SY, Yuan LX, Sun MY, Wang C, Chen JM, Li YH, Zhou Q, Wu D, et al. Prevalence of falls, injury from falls and associations with chronic diseases among community-dwelling older adults in Guangzhou, China: a cross-sectional study. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1251858.
- 11. Shen F, Zhang L, Fang Y. Study on the current situation and influencing factors of falls among the old people in Chinese communities in 2018. Injury Med. 2022;11(01):7–12.
- 12. Kalache A, Fu D, Yoshida S, Alfaisal W, Beattie L, Chodzkozajko W, et al. World health organisation global report on falls prevention in older age. In.: World Health Organisation; 2007.
- Fletcher PC, Guthrie DM, Berg K, Hirdes JP. Risk factors for restriction in activity associated with fear of falling among seniors within the community. J Patient Saf. 2010;6(3):187–91.

- 14. Sibley KM, Voth J, Munce SE, Straus SE, Jaglal SB. Chronic disease and falls in community-dwelling canadians over 65 years old: a population-based study exploring associations with number and pattern of chronic conditions. BMC Geriatr. 2014;14:22.
- Lin WQ, Lin L, Yuan LX, Pan LL, Huang TY, Sun MY, Qin FJ, Wang C, Li YH, Zhou Q et al. Association between meteorological factors and elderly falls in injury surveillance from 2014 to 2018 in Guangzhou, China. *Heliyon* 2022, 8(10):e10863.
- 16. Fjeldstad C, Fjeldstad AS, Acree LS, Nickel KJ, Gardner AW. The influence of obesity on falls and quality of life. Dynamic Medicine: DM. 2008;7:4.
- Mitchell RJ, Lord SR, Harvey LA, Close JC. Associations between obesity and overweight and fall risk, health status and quality of life in older people. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2014;38(1):13–8.
- Datta Banik S, Dickinson F. Waist circumference cut-off in relation to body mass index and percentage of body fat in adult women from Merida, Mexico. Anthropologischer Anzeiger; Bericht uber die biologisch-anthropologische Literatur 2015, 72(4):369–383.
- Nevill AM, Stewart AD, Olds T, Holder R. Relationship between adiposity and body size reveals limitations of BMI. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2006;129(1):151–6.
- 20. Koenen M, Hill MA, Cohen P, Sowers JR. Obesity, adipose tissue and vascular dysfunction. Circul Res. 2021;128(7):951–68.
- Ross R, Neeland IJ, Yamashita S, Shai I, Seidell J, Magni P, Santos RD, Arsenault B, Cuevas A, Hu FB, et al. Waist circumference as a vital sign in clinical practice: a Consensus Statement from the IAS and ICCR Working Group on visceral obesity. Nat Reviews Endocrinol. 2020;16(3):177–89.
- 22. Wilding JPH, Jacob S. Cardiovascular outcome trials in obesity: a review. Obes Reviews: Official J Int Association Study Obes. 2021;22(1):e13112.
- Lin CH, Liao KC, Pu SJ, Chen YC, Liu MS. Associated factors for falls among the community-dwelling older people assessed by annual geriatric health examinations. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(4):e18976.
- 24. Cho BY, Seo DC, Lin HC, Lohrmann DK, Chomistek AK. BMI and central obesity with Falls among Community-Dwelling older adults. Am J Prev Med. 2018;54(4):e59–66.
- Corbeil P, Simoneau M, Rancourt D, Tremblay A, Teasdale N. Increased risk for falling associated with obesity: mathematical modeling of postural control. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabilitation Engineering: Publication IEEE Eng Med Biology Soc. 2001;9(2):126–36.
- Garvey WT, Mechanick JI, Brett EM, Garber AJ, Hurley DL, Jastreboff AM, Nadolsky K, Pessah-Pollack R, Plodkowski R, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CLINICAL ENDOCRINOLOGISTS AND AMERICAN COLLEGE OF ENDOCRINOL-OGY COMPREHENSIVE CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES FOR MEDICAL CARE OF PATIENTS WITH OBESITY. Endocr Practice: Official J Am Coll Endocrinol Am Association Clin Endocrinologists. 2016;22(Suppl 3):1–203.
- Omura-Ohata Y, Son C, Makino H, Koezuka R, Tochiya M, Tamanaha T, Kishimoto I, Hosoda K. Efficacy of visceral fat estimation by dual bioelectrical impedance analysis in detecting cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2019;18(1):137.
- Sun K, Lin D, Li F, Qi Y, Feng W, Ren M, Yan L, Liu D. Visceral adiposity index is associated with increased urinary albumin excretion: a population-based study. Clin Nutr. 2019;38(3):1332–8.
- Pouliot MC, Després JP, Lemieux S, Moorjani S, Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Nadeau A, Lupien PJ. Waist circumference and abdominal sagittal diameter: best simple anthropometric indexes of abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation and related cardiovascular risk in men and women. Am J Cardiol. 1994;73(7):460–8.
- Qiao T, Luo T, Pei H, Yimingniyazi B, Aili D, Aimudula A, Zhao H, Zhang H, Dai J, Wang D. Association between abdominal obesity indices and risk of cardiovascular events in Chinese populations with type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2022;21(1):225.
- Zhang Z, Zhao L, Lu Y, Meng X, Zhou X. Association between Chinese visceral adiposity index and risk of stroke incidence in middle-aged and elderly Chinese population: evidence from a large national cohort study. J Translational Med. 2023;21(1):518.
- Kahn HS. The lipid accumulation product performs better than the body mass index for recognizing cardiovascular risk: a population-based comparison. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2005;5:26.
- Amato MC, Giordano C, Galia M, Criscimanna A, Vitabile S, Midiri M, Galluzzo A. Visceral Adiposity Index: a reliable indicator of visceral fat function associated with cardiometabolic risk. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(4):920–2.
- Xia MF, Chen Y, Lin HD, Ma H, Li XM, Aleteng Q, Li Q, Wang D, Hu Y, Pan BS, et al. A indicator of visceral adipose dysfunction to evaluate metabolic health in adult Chinese. Sci Rep. 2016;6:38214.

- Mu L, Liu J, Zhou G, Wu C, Chen B, Lu Y, Lu J, Yan X, Zhu Z, Nasir K, et al. Obesity prevalence and risks among Chinese adults: findings from the China PEACE million persons Project, 2014–2018. Circulation Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2021;14(6):e007292.
- Lv D, Shen S, Chen X. Association between Dynapenic Abdominal Obesity and fall risk in older adults. Clin Interv Aging. 2022;17:439–45.
- Jyväkorpi SK, Urtamo A, Kivimäki M, Strandberg TE. Association of nutritional components with falls in oldest-old men: the Helsinki businessmen Study (HBS). Exp Gerontol. 2020;142:111105.
- Halade GV, El Jamali A, Williams PJ, Fajardo RJ, Fernandes G. Obesity-mediated inflammatory microenvironment stimulates osteoclastogenesis and bone loss in mice. Exp Gerontol. 2011;46(1):43–52.
- Zhang L, Liu S, Wang W, Sun M, Tian H, Wei L, Wu Y. Dynapenic abdominal obesity and the effect on long-term gait speed and falls in older adults. Clin Nutr. 2022;41(1):91–6.
- Máximo RO, Santos JLF, Perracini MR, Oliveira C, Duarte YAO, Alexandre TDS. Abdominal obesity, dynapenia and dynapenic-abdominal obesity as factors associated with falls. Braz J Phys Ther. 2019;23(6):497–505.
- McKay MJ, Baldwin JN, Ferreira P, Simic M, Vanicek N, Burns J. Normative reference values for strength and flexibility of 1,000 children and adults. Neurology. 2017;88(1):36–43.
- Hermenegildo-López Y, Sandoval-Insausti H, Donat-Vargas C, Banegas JR, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, Guallar-Castillón P. General and central obesity operate differently as predictors of falls requiring medical care in older women: a population-based cohort study in Spain. Age Ageing. 2021;50(1):213–9.

- 43. Chen X, He L, Shi K, Yang J, Du X, Shi K, Fang Y. Age-stratified modifiable fall risk factors in Chinese community-dwelling older adults. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2023;108:104922.
- 44. Su Q, Song M, Mao Y, Ku H, Gao Y, Pi H. An analysis of the associated factors for falls, recurrent falls, and fall-related injuries among the older adults in senior Chinese apartments: a cross-sectional study. Geriatric Nurs (New York NY). 2023;52:127–32.
- Liu H, Hou Y, Li H, Lin J. Influencing factors of weak grip strength and fall: a study based on the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1):2337.
- Devassy SM, Scaria L. Prevalence and risk factors for falls in community-dwelling older population in Kerala; results from a cross sectional survey. Heliyon. 2023;9(8):e18737.
- Dutil M, Handrigan GA, Corbeil P, Cantin V, Simoneau M, Teasdale N, Hue O. The impact of obesity on balance control in community-dwelling older women. Age (Dordrecht Netherlands). 2013;35(3):883–90.

#### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.