### RESEARCH



# Associations between polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medications with risk of falls among the elderly in Saudi Arabia

Khalid S. AlHarkan<sup>1\*</sup>, Safaa Alsousi<sup>1</sup>, Mujtaba AlMishqab<sup>2</sup>, Majd Alawami<sup>2</sup>, Jaffar Almearaj<sup>2</sup>, Hassan Alhashim<sup>2</sup>, Hassan Alamrad<sup>2</sup>, Layla M. Alghamdi<sup>1</sup>, Abdulelah Almansour<sup>1</sup> and Reem S. AlOmar<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

**Background** Falls are dangerous to the health of older adults and can impact their functional status leading to frailty. The use of potentially inappropriate medications (PIMs) among older adults may lead to adverse health outcomes and increase the risk of falls. Polypharmacy increases the incidence of falls. Beers criteria by the American Geriatric Society is one of the many criteria used to detect PIMs. It assesses the appropriateness of drug prescriptions (i.e., correct dose, duration, and indications) to ensure the safety of these drugs, reducing drug interactions and decreasing the hazards of side effects. This epidemiological study aims to explore the association between polypharmacy and Beers criteria with the risk of falls in the elderly.

**Method** A total of 387 outpatients aged 60 or older were interviewed in person. The patients were recruited from the University Hospital and the Family and Community Medicine Center in Khobar city, Saudi Arabia, between the period of November 2021 to March 2022. All patients were able to walk independently. The survey began by collecting patients' demographics, gathering medication history, and asking three key questions to detect the risk of falls which was developed by the Center of Disease Control (CDC). Polypharmacy (defined as concurrent use of five or more medications) and PIMs (defined as use of one or more medications in the Beers list) were examined against risk of falls in the elderly. Multiple logistic regression analyses were used to estimate adjusted Odds Ratios (ORs).

**Result** A total of 387 patients participated in the study; 62% were male, and most participants belonged to the 60 < 65 years age category (47.80%). Among all patients, 55% had a high risk of falling, and 21% of patients had fell during the past year. Polypharmacy applied to 50.90% of all patients, while Beers criteria positive group applied to 51.42%. Risk of falls and prior falls were associated with polypharmacy both before and after adjustment.

**Conclusion** The results showed a significant association between risk of falls with polypharmacy and PIMs, and more than half of our study population had a high risk of falls. Of those at a higher risk, one out of five had indeed

\*Correspondence: Khalid S. AlHarkan ksaalyami@iau.edu.sa

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

experienced a fall in the last 12 months. Higher rates of falls were associated with older aged patients, lower educational levels, female gender, and cardiovascular medications.

Keywords Polypharmacy, Elderly, Falls, Potentially inappropriate medications

#### Introduction

Falls are dangerous to the health of older adults and can impact their functional status, leading to frailty [1]. A fall can be described as an event that results in the falling of a person to a lower level or the ground, that is not due to a major intrinsic event (such as a stroke) or overwhelming hazard [2]. Age is one of the risk factors for falls, especially above 65 years old. In the United States (US), one out of four adults aged 65 and older reported falling, resulting in about 36 million falls each year. These falls cost \$50 billion annually [1]. In Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia, the prevalence of falls in the elderly was 50%, and 74% of them had injuries as a result of that fall; these injuries range between fractures to bruises, and around half of them needed a walking aid after the fall [3]. Falls are the main cause of fatal and nonfatal injuries among older adults [4]. The main risk factors that lead to falls were neurological diseases, musculoskeletal problems, psychosocial, polypharmacy, and many others [5]. In Unaizah city, Saudi Arabia, prevalence of falls was 31%, and more than half of the study population had polypharmacy [6].

Polypharmacy is a state in which five medications, or more, are consumed concurrently [5]. The use of potentially inappropriate medications (PIMs) among older adults may lead to adverse health outcomes and increases the risk of falls [7, 8]. Beers criteria is one of the many criteria used to detect PIMs. It is the most common list used to describe inappropriate drugs for older adults and was recently updated in 2019 by the American Geriatrics Society [7]. It assesses the appropriateness of drug prescription guidelines (i.e., correct dose, duration, and indications) to ensure the safety of these drugs, decrease the hazards of side effects, and reduce drug interactions [7].

To our knowledge, there are limited local publications that have explicitly addressed the relation between polypharmacy and frequent falls in elderly patients. Therefore, this study aims to explore the association between polypharmacy and Beers criteria with the risk of falls in the elderly.

#### Methods

#### Study design and settings

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Family and Community Medicine Centre at Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University (IAU) and King Fahad Hospital of the University (KFHU) outpatient departments in Khobar city, Saudi Arabia, between the period of November 2021 to March 2022. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University (IRB-UGS-2021-01-350). Written informed consents were obtained from all patients before interviewing them.

#### Sample size and sampling technique

The minimum required sample size was calculated to be 384. This was based on a prevalence of 49.9% of falls among the Saudi elderly in 2018 [3]. With a precision of 5% and at an alpha level of 0.05. Sample size calculation was performed in Epi info 7.0. A systematic sampling technique was used to select the study participants who were approached by six research assistants. This was done by obtaining the patient lists from the outpatients' department and choosing every 4th patient.

All patients were able to walk independently. Patients who had acute illness or were diagnosed with neurocognitive disorders, such as dementia, and Parkinson's disease, and/or those who cannot recall their medications, were excluded. A total of 393 patients were approached; however, 4 were excluded due to having dementia and another 2 were excluded due to Parkinson's disease. Hence, a total of 387 patients were included after application of the exclusion criteria.

#### Demographics and risk of fall assessment

The survey began by obtaining the patients' sociodemographic information. These included age (60 < 65, 65 < 70, 70 < 75, 75 < 80, 80 < 85 and  $\ge 85$  years old), gender (males, females), marital status (single, married, divorced, widowed), living situation (alone or with family), and educational level (illiterate, elementary school, middle school, high school, university education).

The assessment for risk of falls was based on Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths, and Injuries (STEADI), which was developed by the Center of Disease Control (CDC) [9]. The three key questions asked were whether the patient feels unsteady when standing or walking, whether the patient worried about falling, and if the patient had fallen during the previous year. In case the answer to one of those three questions was yes, then the patient is considered at a high risk of falls. For the last question, however, if the answer was yes, then two additional questions were asked. The first was how many times he/she fell, and the second was whether there were any related injuries to the fall.

#### Medication assessment

A detailed medication history was obtained with the help of the patients' caregivers. We classified patients based on the number of medications into two groups: polypharmacy (concurrent five medications or more) versus nonpolypharmacy (less than concurrent five medications).

Furthermore, we classified patients based on the Beers criteria for potentially inappropriate medications into two groups: positive Beers criteria (patients who had one medication in the Beers list or more) versus negative Beers criteria.

We further grouped medications into cardiovascular medications, such as ACE inhibitors; angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB), calcium channel blockers, beta blockers, and antiarrhythmics; anticoagulants such as Warfarin, low molecular weight heparin, and direct oral anticoagulants; antiplatelets, such as aspirin, clopidogrel, ticlopidine, and ticagrelor; endocrinology medications, such as anti-diabetes mellitus drugs, vitamin D, thyroid, and osteoporosis drugs; dyslipidemia medications, such as statin; gastroenterology medications, such as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), laxatives, anti-emetics, and inflammatory bowel disease drugs; diuretics, such as Furosemide; neurology medications, such as anti-Parkinson's drugs, and anti-seizure drugs; psychiatric medications, such as anti-depressants and antipsychotics; respiratory inhalers; and urology medications, such as anti-BPH drugs [10].

Table 1	Descriptive	statistics of	study	participants
---------	-------------	---------------	-------	--------------

Characteristic	N (%)
	387 (100.00%)
Age	
60 < 65 years	185 (47.80)
65 < 70 years	80 (20.67)
70 < 75 years	60 (15.50)
75 < 80 years	28 (07.24)
80 < 85 years	15 (03.88)
≥85 years	19 (04.91)
Gender	
Males	242 (62.53)
Females	145 (37.47)
Living situation	
Alone	17 (04.39)
With family	370 (95.61)
Educational status	
Illiterate	67 (17.31)
Elementary school	79 (20.41)
Middle school	36 (09.30)
High school	65 (16.80)
University	140 (36.18)
Marital status	
Single	4 (01.03)
Married	304 (78.55)
Widowed	74 (19.12)
Divorced	5 (01.29)

#### Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using Stata software version 15.0. The main outcome of the study was the risk of falls (low/high), and the secondary outcome was the occurrence of prior falls in the last year. Descriptive statistics were reported as frequencies and percentages. Two sets of analyses were performed; the first was to assess the risk of falling and polypharmacy, and the second was to assess the risk of falling and the Beers criteria groups, all while adjusting for confounding variables. Bivariate analyses were computed through Chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) tests. Trends of proportions over age were tested for statistical significance. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed through a series of binary logistic regression analyses. Choice of variables in the final model was based on a Directed Acyclic Graph of the associations and the best model fit. All levels of significance were set at 0.05.

#### Results

### Sociodemographic and fall-related characteristics of participants

A total of 387 patients participated in the study. Overall, there were 62.53% males and 37.47% females. Most participants belonged in the 60<65 years age category (47.80%), and only 4.95% were aged above 85 years. Over 95% lived with their families, and 36.18% were university graduates, while 17.31% were illiterate. With regards to marital status, 1.03% were single and 78.55% were married (Table 1). Among all patients, 48.84% reported feeling unsteady when walking, while 32.04% worry about falling. Over 21% of patients fell during the past year. Among them, 11 (2.84%) fell four or more times in the past year, and 54.22% reported an injury due to that fall. Hence, a high risk of falls was found to be present in 55.50% of all participants (Table 2).

## Associations between sociodemographic variables and the risk of falls and prior falls

Both the risk of falls and prior falls were found to be statistically significantly associated with age (P<0.001 and P=0.04 respectively). The percent of patients who are at a high risk of falls is seen to increase with the increase in age (P for trend<0.001). Gender was also highly statistically significant with both risk of falls and prior falls with a higher percent observed among females (P<0.001). Significant associations were also observed for educational status and marital status. No association was observed for the living situation (Table 3).

## Associations between medication use and the risk of falls and prior falls

Figure 1 shows the number of prescribed medications to all patients, where a significant number of patients

Table 2 Fall related	characteristics	of study	participants
----------------------	-----------------	----------	--------------

Characteristic	N (%)		
	387 (100.00%)		
Feels unsteady when walking			
No	198 (51.16)		
Yes	189 (48.84)		
Worries about falling			
No	263 (67.96)		
Yes	124 (32.04)		
Has fallen in the past year			
No	304 (78.55)		
Yes	83 (21.45)		
Number of times fallen			
Never	304 (78.55)		
Once	44 (11.37)		
Twice	20 (05.17)		
Three times	8 (02.07)		
Four or more times	11 (02.84)		
lf fallen, injured			
No	38 (45.78)		
Yes	45 (54.22)		
Risk of falls			
Low	173 (44.70)		
Hiah	214 (55.30)		

consume over 15 medications a day. Polypharmacy applied to 50.90% of all patients, while Beers criteria applied to 51.42%. Examining specific medication uses, cardiovascular medications were the most frequently reported (53%), followed by endocrine medications (46%) and dyslipidemia (35%), as shown in Table 4.

According to polypharmacy and Beers criteria group of patients, statistically significant associations were found with risk of falls (P<0.001) and (P=0.002), respectively. Although a higher percent of prior falls had happened among participants who were on polypharmacy and who were positive for Beers criteria, only polypharmacy was statistically significant (P<0.001).

#### Multivariate associations between polypharmacy and risk of falls and prior falls

Table 5 shows the adjusted ORs and their respective 95% CIs. Polypharmacy was found to significantly increase the risk of falls and prior falls after adjustment for age, gender, living situations, and educational status. After adjustment, the odds of a high risk of falling were greater for polypharmacy patients compared to those who are not (OR=3.62, 95% CI=2.05–5.19, P-value<0.001), and the OR of prior falls was 2.83 (95% CI=1.59–5.04). Increasing age was also a factor for the risk of falls; however, only patients who belonged to the  $\geq$ 85 years category were significant (Adjusted OR=7.67, 95% CI=1.61–36.55).

The risk of prior falls for females were higher than males (OR=2.07, 95% CI=1.14-3.77).

### Multivariate associations between Beers criteria and risk of falls and prior falls

Table 6 shows the adjusted ORs and their respective 95% CI and P-values. Beers criteria patients were found to be at an increased risk of falls after adjustment (OR=1.86, 95% CI=1.19–2.90 respectively). Increasing age was a predictor, where the higher the age the higher the odds of falls, reaching 7.97 (95% CI=1.71–37.12) for patients belonging to the  $\geq$ 85 years category. Females were at a significant risk of falls and prior falls (OR=2.09, 95% CI=1.26–3.49 and OR=2.57, 95% CI=1.44 and 4.59, respectively). For educational status, compared to university graduates, those with up to a high school education were at a higher risk, although only significant for illiterate patients and patients with a high school degree. Age, living situation, and educational status were not associated with prior falls.

#### Discussion

The present study assessed the risk of falls in the elderly using the CDC's STEADI tool and examined its association with polypharmacy and PIMs. The primary findings were that over half the participants were at a high risk of falls and that the odds of a high risk of falls were higher among patients who were on polypharmacy and patients who were positive for Beers criteria. The number of medications is one of the major factors that may contribute to the risk of falls. In our study, the average number of medications is 5.1, and only 6 patients (1.5%) of the study population consume over 15 medications [11]. One patient in our study population reported using 27 medications, which has been observed in our clinical practice due to lack of primary care physicians for continuous monitoring of overall health and the patient's ability to get multiple prescriptions by different providers. Over half of our study population reported use of cardiovascular medications, such as ACE inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), calcium channel blockers, beta blockers, and antiarrhythmics. Similar to our findings, one national study found an average number of medications for the elderly was 6.4 [12].

One study found that out of 116 patients, 109 of them were taking more than 4 medications during the time they experienced a fall. They also found a 14% increase in the risk of falls with the addition of each medication regardless of drug class. Furthermore, a systematic review and meta-analysis examining polypharmacy in the geriatric population estimated a 6% increase in the risk of falls with the addition of each medication [13].

The main class of medications associated with risk of falls in this current study were cardiovascular

Characteristic	Risk of falls		Prior falls	
	Low N (%)	High N (%)	No N (%)	Yes N (%)
	173 (44.70)	214 (55.30)	304 (78.55)	83 (21.45)
<sup>‡</sup> Age				
60 < 65 years	101 (54.59)	84 (45.41)	155 (83.78)	30 (16.22)
65 < 70 years	35 (43.75)	45 (56.25)	64 (80.00)	16 (20.00)
70 < 75 years	25 (41.67)	35 (58.33)	45 (75.00)	15 (25.00)
75 < 80 years	25 (41.67)	21 (75.00)	19 (67.86)	9 (32.14)
80 < 85 years	7 (25.00)	12 (80.00)	9 (60.00)	6 (40.00)
≥85 years	2 (10.53)	17 (89.47)	12 (63.13)	7 (36.84)
P-value	< 0.001		0.04	
Gender				
Males	130 (53.72)	112 (46.28)	208 (85.95)	34 (14.05)
Females	43 (29.66)	102 (70.34)	96 (66.21)	49 (33.79)
P-value	< 0.001		< 0.001	
Living situation				
Alone	6 (35.29)	11 (64.71)	11 (64.71)	6 (35.29)
With family	167 (45.14)	203 (54.56)	293 (79.19)	77 (20.81)
P-value	0.42		0.15	
<sup>‡</sup> Educational status				
Illiterate	15 (22.39)	52 (77.61)	41 (61.19)	26 (38.81)
Elementary school	33 (41.77)	46 (58.23)	64 (81.01)	15 (18.99)
Middle school	15 (41.67)	21 (58.33)	28 (77.78)	8 (22.22)
High school	25 (38.46)	40 (61.54)	50 (76.92)	15 (23.08)
University	85 (60.71)	55 (39.29)	121 (86.43)	19 (13.57)
P-value	< 0.001		0.002	
Marital status				
Single	2 (50.00)	2 (50.00)	4 (100.00)	0
Married	152 (50.00)	152 (50.00)	249 (81.91)	55 (18.09)
Widowed	18 (24.32)	56 (75.68)	47 (63.51)	27 (36.49)
Divorced	1 (29.00)	4 (80.00)	4 (80.00)	1 (20.00)
P-value	< 0.001		0.004	

Table 3	Associations	between sociod	emographic	characteristics	with t	he risk	of falls and	prior f	alls
	1 10000010101101	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		011010000100000			01 10110 0110	D O	<b>~</b>

<sup>‡</sup>P for trend < 0.001 for risk of falls

medications (antihypertensives and antiarrhythmics). Consistent with our findings, a previous study of elderly patients aged 66 years and older showed that an increased risk of injurious falls occurred after starting antihypertensive medications [14]. Another large study of approximately 5,000 community-dwelling elderlies aged 70 years and older suggested that antihypertensive drugs were linked with an increased risk of fall injuries, particularly in those with a history of falls [15]. An increase in the risk of falls may be due to the fact that such medications may cause bradycardia, postural hypotension, edema of lower extremities, and dizziness-all of which may lead to balance and gait impairments and result in a fall or fallrelated injury [15-17]. On the other hand, a community study of 598 elderly patients with hypertension found that high doses of antihypertensive agents were not associated with an increased risk for falls [18]. However, there are inherent differences between this study especially in terms of the method of data collection, which was based on an interview rather than using an electronic prescription service.

Gastrointestinal medications were also associated with the risk of falls. The most common medication within this category was PPI and several studies have suggested that PPIs increase the risk of falls [19–23]. Moreover, one study not only suggested that the use of PPI increases the risk of falls, but fractures too [23]. One of the possible explanations is that PPIs are linked with frailty which may increase the risk of falling. PPIs were also linked with osteoporotic type fractures and low bone mineral density compared to patients who do not use PPIs [20]. Another possible contributing factor is that PPIs decrease B12 absorption, which in the long term leads to demyelinating neurologic diseases, gait disorders, muscle weaknesses, cognitive impairments, and visual problems—all of which can cause falls [21, 23].

Age and gender are known to be major risk factors for falls. According to a Canadian study, the prevalence of



Fig. 1 Number of prescribed medications to study participants

falls increased with age. Our results showed a significant p for trend with increasing age, and we found that the  $\geq$ 85-year age group have a higher risk of falls compared to the younger age group of 60 to 65 year olds. The higher risk of falls in the older age group could be explained by low muscular mass, visual and hearing impairment, balance disorders, and comorbidities, which furtherly increase the need for polypharmacy [24]. As for gender, similar to our results, a study showed that females were at a threefold increased risk of falls when compared to males, which can be explained by many factors, such as decreased muscle mass, use of high heels, and accidents when working at home [25, 26]. It should be noted however, that the number of patients within the  $\geq$ 85-year age group was very small, hence may have attributed to the extreme high-risk estimate.

A study by Almegbel et al., in 2018 found that patients with high educational levels were at a lower risk of experiencing a fall [3]. This may be due to the awareness of the highly educated people about fall preventive measures and home hazards, and perhaps that group is better at following prescribed drug regimens [27].

This study has several limitations, one of them is that patients were asked to recall details about the fall event, which introduces recall bias. Also, we did not specifically ask about the duration of the medication usage nor take into consideration drug interactions.

#### Conclusion

The results showed a significant association between the risk of falls and both polypharmacy and PIMs. More than half of our study population had an elevated risk of falls. Of those at a higher risk, one out of five had indeed experienced a fall in the last 12 months. Higher rates of falls were associated with older age, lower educational levels, female gender, and cardiovascular medications. Routine assessment of the risk of falls are highly recommended, as well as integration of the Beers criteria into electronic medical records to highlight potentially inappropriate medications in this vulnerable age group.

#### Table 4 Associations between specific drug class, polypharmacy, and Beers criteria with risk of falls

Medications	N (%)	Risk of fall		P-value
	387 (100.00%)	Low	High	
Cardiovascular				0.006
No	180 (46.51)	94 (52.22)	86 (47.78)	
Yes	207 (53.49)	79 (38.16)	128 (61.84)	
Anticoagulants				0.42
No	370 (95.61)	167 (45.14)	203 (54.86)	
Yes	17 (04.39)	6 (35.29)	11 (64.71)	
Antiplatelets				0.001
No	274 (70.80)	138 (50.36)	136 (49.64)	
Yes	113 (29.20)	35 (30.97)	78 (69.03)	
Endocrine				0.55
No	206 (53.23)	95 (46.12)	111 (53.88)	
Yes	181 (46.77)	78 (43.09)	103 (56.91)	
Dyslipidemia				0.002
No	249 (64.34)	126 (50.60)	123 (49.40)	
Yes	138 (35.66)	47 (34.06)	91 (65.94)	
Gastrointestinal				< 0.001
No	314 (81.14)	157 (50.00)	157 (50.00)	
Yes	73 (18.86)	16 (21.92)	57 (78.08)	
Diuretics				0.003
No	325 (83.98)	156 (48.00)	169 (52.00)	
Yes	62 (16.02)	17 (27.42)	45 (72.58)	
Neurology				0.20
No	385 (99.48)	173 (44.94)	212 (55.06)	
Yes	2 (00.52)	0	2 (100.00)	
Inhalers				0.07
No	373 (96.38)	170 (45.58)	203 (54.42)	
Yes	14 (03.62)	3 (21.43)	11 (78.57)	
Urology				0.06
No	365 (94.32)	159 (43.56)	206 (56.44)	
Yes	22 (05.68)	14 (63.64)	8 (36.36)	
Psychiatric				0.88
No	385 (99.48)	172 (44.68)	213 (55.32)	
Yes	2 (0.52)	1 (50.00)	1 (50.00)	
Polypharmacy				< 0.001
No	197 (50.90)	120 (60.91)	77 (39.09)	
Yes	190 (49.10)	53 (27.89)	137 (72.11)	
Beers criteria				0.002
No	188 (48.58)	99 (52.66)	89 (47.34)	
Yes	199 (51.42)	74 (37.19)	125 (62.81)	

#### **Table 5** Adjusted Odds Ratios for the risk of falls and prior falls in relation to polypharmacy

Predictors	Risk of falls		Prior falls	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Polypharmacy				
No	*Ref			
Yes	3.62	2.05-5.19	2.83	1.59–5.04
Age				
60 < 65 years	Ref			
65 < 70 years	1.52	0.86-2.70	1.24	0.61-2.53
70 < 75 years	1.66	0.87-3.16	1.61	0.76-3.42
75 < 80 years	2.52	0.95–6.67	1.73	0.66-4.53
80 < 85 years	2.47	0.60-10.04	1.98	0.56-6.93
≥85 years	7.67	1.61–36.55	1.99	0.66-6.00
Gender				
Males	Ref			
Females	1.58	0.93–2.69	2.07	1.14–3.77
Living situation				
Alone	1.04	0.31-3.43	1.26	0.38-4.15
With family	Ref			
Educational status				
Illiterate	2.23	0.99–4.99	1.50	0.63-3.53
Elementary school	1.44	0.76-2.70	0.92	0.41-2.06
Middle school	1.86	0.83-4.17	1.43	0.54-3.81
High school	2.40	1.25-4.61	1.73	0.78–3.81
University	Ref			
*D (				

\*Reference

 Table 6
 Adjusted Odds Ratios for the risk of falls in relation to Beers criteria

	Risk of falls		Prior falls	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Beers criteria				
No	*Ref			
Yes	1.86	1.19–2.90	1.22	0.72-2.07
Age				
60 < 65 years	Ref			
65 < 70 years	1.38	0.79-2.42	1.21	0.60-2.43
70 < 75 years	1.57	0.84-2.93	1.63	0.78-3.41
75 < 80 years	2.61	1.01-6.74	1.82	0.70-4.72
80 < 85 years	2.77	0.69-11.03	2.36	0.69-8.05
≥85 years	7.97	1.71–37.12	2.26	0.77-6.63
Gender				
Males	Ref			
Females	2.09	1.26-3.49	2.57	1.44–4.59
Living situation				
Alone	1.06	0.33-3.39	1.22	0.38-3.87
With family	Ref			
Educational status				
Illiterate	2.36	1.07-5.19	1.68	0.72-3.88
Elementary school	1.48	0.80-2.73	1.01	0.45-2.32
Middle school	2.06	0.94–4.50	1.61	0.62-4.19
High school	2.33	1.23-4.39	1.72	0.78-3.75
University	Ref			
*Reference	-			

#### Abbreviations

PIMs	Potentially inappropriate medications
PPI	Proton pump inhibitors
ACE	Acetylcholinesterase
ARB	Angiotensin receptor blocker
STEADI	Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths, and Injuries
CDC	Center of Disease Control
3PH	Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

#### Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Raghad Sonbul, Fatimah Almogren, Asaleh Ahmed and Zahra Shabib for their expertise and assistance throughout all aspects of the study.

#### **Author Contribution**

KA conceived and designed the study. RA performed the data analysis. KA, RA, AA and LA contributed to the interpretation of the results. MA, MA, SA, JA, HA and HS collected the data, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. KA and RA guided the development of the study and undertook critical revisions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

None.

#### Data Availability

The dataset used and analysed during the current study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University (IRB-UGS-2021-01-350). Written informed consents were obtained from all patients/participants and/ or their legal guardians before interviewing them. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Received: 27 June 2022 / Accepted: 27 February 2023 Published online: 06 April 2023

#### References

- Florence CS, Bergen G, Atherly A, Burns E, Stevens J, Drake C. Medical Costs of Fatal and Nonfatal Falls in Older Adults. J Am Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2018 Apr 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];66(4):693–8. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/29512120/
- Currie L. Fall and Injury Prevention. Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2022 Jun 15]; (Chap. 10):1–56. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21328754/
- Almegbel FY, Alotaibi IM, Alhusain FA, Masuadi EM, al Sulami SL, Aloushan AF et al. Period prevalence, risk factors and consequent injuries of falling among the Saudi elderly living in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];8(1). Available from: https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29326189/
- Falls [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 15]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ news-room/fact-sheets/detail/falls
- Ziere G, Dieleman JP, Hofman A, Pols HAP, van der Cammen TJM, Stricker BHC. Polypharmacy and falls in the middle age and elderly population. Br J Clin Pharmacol [Internet]. 2006 Feb [cited 2022 Jun 15];61(2):218–23. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16433876/
- Alabdullgader A, Rabbani U. Prevalence and risk factors of falls among the elderly in Unaizah City, Saudi Arabia. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2021;21(1):e86–93.
- Kojima T, Akishita M, Nakamura T, Nomura K, Ogawa S, Iijima K et al. Association of polypharmacy with fall risk among geriatric outpatients. Geriatr Gerontol Int [Internet]. 2011 Oct [cited 2022 Jun 15];11(4):438–44. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21545384/
- Nagai T, Nagaoka M, Tanimoto K, Tomizuka Y, Uei H, Nakanishi K. Relationship between potentially inappropriate medications and functional prognosis in elderly patients with distal radius fracture: a retrospective cohort study. J Orthop Surg Res [Internet]. 2020 Aug 12 [cited 2022 Jun 15];15(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32787973/
- Stevens JA, Phelan EA. Development of STEADI: a fall prevention resource for health care providers. Health Promot Pract [Internet]. 2013 Sep [cited 2022 Jun 15];14(5):706–14. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/23159993/
- General Drug Categories. | FDA [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 27]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/investigational-new-drug-ind-application/ general-drug-categories
- Hammond T, Wilson A. Polypharmacy and falls in the elderly: a literature review. Nurs Midwifery Stud [Internet]. 2013 Jun 27 [cited 2022 Jun 15];2(2):171–5. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25414854/
- Alsuwaidan A, Almedlej N, Alsabti S, Daftardar O, Deaji F, al, Amri A. t al. A Comprehensive Overview of Polypharmacy in Elderly Patients in Saudi Arabia. Geriatrics (Basel) [Internet]. 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Oct 31];4(2). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31096616/
- 13. Deandrea S, Lucenteforte E, Bravi F, Foschi R, la Vecchia C, Negri E. Risk factors for falls in community-dwelling older people: a systematic

review and meta-analysis. Epidemiology [Internet]. 2010 Sep [cited 2022 Jun 22];21(5):658–68. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20585256/

- Butt DA, Mamdani M, Austin PC, Tu K, Gomes T, Glazier RH. The risk of falls on initiation of antihypertensive drugs in the elderly. Osteoporos Int [Internet]. 2013 Oct [cited 2022 Jun 15];24(10):2649–57. Available from: https://pubmed. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23612794/
- Ham AC, Swart KMA, Enneman AW, van Dijk SC, Oliai Araghi S, van Wijngaarden JP et al. Medication-related fall incidents in an older, ambulant population: the B-PROOF study. Drugs Aging [Internet]. 2014 Nov 25 [cited 2022 Jun 15];31(12):917–27. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/25398701/
- Juraschek SP, Simpson LM, Davis BR, Beach JL, Ishak A, Mukamal KJ. Effects of Antihypertensive Class on Falls, Syncope, and Orthostatic Hypotension in Older Adults: The ALLHAT Trial. Hypertension [Internet]. 2019 Oct 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];74(4):1033–40. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/31476905/
- Bromfield SG, Ngameni CA, Colantonio LD, Barrett Bowling C, Shimbo D, Reynolds K et al. Blood Pressure, Antihypertensive Polypharmacy, Frailty, and Risk for Serious Fall Injuries Among Older Treated Adults With Hypertension. Hypertension [Internet]. 2017 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];70(2):259–66. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28652459/
- Lipsitz LA, Habtemariam D, Gagnon M, Iloputaife I, Sorond F, Tchalla AE et al. Reexamining the Effect of Antihypertensive Medications on Falls in Old Age. Hypertension [Internet]. 2015 Jul 4 [cited 2022 Jun 15];66(1):183–9. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25941341/
- Spence MM, Karim FA, Lee EA, Hui RL, Gibbs NE. Risk of Injury in Older Adults Using Gastrointestinal Antispasmodic and Anticholinergic Medications. J Am Geriatr Soc [Internet]. 2015 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];63(6):1197–202. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26096393/
- Thaler HW, Sterke CS, van der Cammen TJM. Association of proton pump inhibitor use with recurrent falls and risk of fractures in older women: A study of medication use in older fallers. The journal of nutrition, health & aging 2016 20:1 [Internet]. 2016 Jan 8 [cited 2022 Jun 15];20(1):77–81. Available from: https://link-springer-com.library.iau.edu.sa/article/https://doi. org/10.1007/s12603-016-0679-0
- Lapumnuaypol K, Thongprayoon C, Wijarnpreecha K, Tiu A, Cheungpasitporn W. Risk of fall in patients taking proton pump inhibitors: a meta-analysis. QJM [Internet]. 2019 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];112(2):115–21. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30364990/
- Lapumnuaypol K, Thongprayoon C, Wijarnpreecha K, Tiu A, Cheungpasitporn W, Reply. Risk of fall injury in patients taking proton pump inhibitors-a metaanalysis. QJM [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];112(4):319. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30476239/
- Lewis JR, Barre D, Zhu K, Ivey KL, Lim EM, Hughes J et al. Long-term proton pump inhibitor therapy and falls and fractures in elderly women: A prospective cohort study. J Bone Miner Res [Internet]. 2014 Nov 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];29(11):2489–97. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/24825180/
- Chang VC, Do MT. Risk factors for falls among seniors: Implications of gender. Am J Epidemiol [Internet]. 2015 Apr 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];181(7):521–31. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25700887/
- Calik Y, Ozkuk K, Dilek G. Effect of vitamin D level and polypharmacy on the risk of falls in the elderly. Experimental Biomedical Research. 2021 Apr;4(1):81–8.
- Smith A, de Silva A, Rodrigues AO, Moreira RAP, Nogueira MASP, de Tura J. LFR. Assessment of risk of falls in elderly living at home. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 15];25. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/28403333/
- Kim T, Choi SD, Xiong S. Epidemiology of fall and its socioeconomic risk factors in community-dwelling Korean elderly. PLoS One [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Jun 15];15(6). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/32559206/

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.