ERRATUM



Open Access



Erratum to: Association between pain, neuropsychiatric symptoms, and physical function in dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Annelore H. van Dalen-Kok^{1*}, Marjoleine Pieper^{1,2}, Margot de Waal¹, Albert Lukas³, Bettina S. Husebo^{4,5} and Wilco P. Achterberg¹

The original version of this article unfortunately contained some mistakes. The presentation of Table 2, Table 5 and Table 6 was incorrect. The corrected tables are given below.

* Correspondence: a.h.van_dalen@lumc.nl

¹Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Centre, Hippocratespad 21 Post zone VO-P, PO Box 9600, Leiden, RC 2300, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2015 van Dalen-Kok et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

	Measurement of pain		Measurement of neuropsychiatric symptoms		Measurement of function	
First author	Rating scale	Method of detection	Rating scale	Method of detection	Rating scale	Method of detection
Ahn 2013 ³⁶	MDS pain severity scale, combining pain frequency and pain intensity	Self-report, if not possible staff report based on proxy reports	MDS subscales; wandering-item, ag- gression behaviour scale (ABS), chal- lenging behaviour profile (CBP) agitation subscale	Patient self-report, proxy and professional	MDS-ADL long form (7 items)	Staff observation
Bartels 2003 ⁸	No use of rating scale	Data collection instrument (3- month period), raters unknown	MDS for depression	Medical records	MDS (number of ADLs)	Medical records
Black 2006 ³⁹	No use of rating scale	Medical records, preceding 6 months, interview surrogate and physician	No use of rating scales	Medical records, preceding 6 months, interview proxy and staff	No use of rating scale	Medical records, preceding 6 months, interview proxy and staff
Brummel-Smith 2002 ⁴⁰	1 out of 3 scales: faces or line scale, or word-based pain intensity scale	self-report, assessed by trained research assistants	No use of rating scales	Trained research assistants	No use of rating scale	Trained research assistants
Cipher 2004 ⁴	GMPI pain and suffering subscale	Part of neuropsychological evaluation by a licensed clinical geropsychologist	-GDS-15 "-26 dysfunctional behaviours with scores "1-7"	Part of neuropsychological evaluation by a licensed clinical geropsychologist	PRADLI	Part of neuropsychological evaluation by a licensed clinical geropsychologist
Cipher 2006 ⁴¹	GMPI	Part of neuropsychological evaluation by a licensed clinical geropsychologist and each instrument was administered after interviewing the resident, nursing staff and family members	GLDS, 19 categories with scores 1-7	Part of neuropsychological evaluation by a licensed clinical geropsychologist and each instrument was administered after interviewing the resident, nursing staff and family members, Medical records, preceding 6 to max 26, Months	GLDS	Part of neuropsychological evaluation by a licensed clinical geropsychologist and each instrument was administered after interviewing the resident, nursing staff and family members
D'Astolfo 2006 ⁴⁴	No use of rating scale	Medical records, preceding 6 to max 26 months	No use of rating scales		No use of rating scale	Medical records Ambulatory status: independent, requires assistance, wheel chair (or bedridden n?=?1)
Gruber-Baldini	PGC-PIS, score ≥ 2	Rating by supervisory staff	CSDD	Rating by supervisory staff member	MDS;	Rating/observation by
2005		member	CMAI		activities of daily living scale, SMOI	supervisory staff member
Kunik 2005 ³⁰	PGC-PIS, item on	Interview with patient and proxy	CMAI	Interview with patient and proxy by	-	-
	level of pain in previous week,	by trained interviewer/research assistant	HAM-D	trained interviewer/research assistant		
	scores 1-6		NPI (subdomains delusion/ hallucinations)			
Leonard 2006 ⁵⁰	MDS pain burden using a 4-level composite score based on pain	-	MDS (Physical aggression: MDS item 'others were hit, shoved, scratched, sexually abused'; Depression: MDS score \geq 3 on sum of 9 items, e.g.	-	-	-

Table 2 Measurements of pain, neuropsychiatric symptoms and p	physical function
---	-------------------

Fable 2 Measurements of	pain, neuropsyc	chiatric symptoms and	d physical fund	tion (Continued)
--------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------------	------------------

	frequency and		'being sad' 'making pegative			
	intensity		statements', 'persistent anger with self or others', 'pained facial expressions'. (At least once in week			
1 200735			Delore)			
Leong 200755	PAINAD for non-	Interviews with patient and staff member by professionals for	Depression with GDS-15 or STAI	Self-report or staff report	AAS	Not reported
	patients	communicative patients	Anxiety with Cornell			
Lin 2011 ⁴⁶	PAINAD-Chinese version	Observation immediately following instances of routine care by principal investigator and research assistant	No use of rating scales	Medical records and observations by professional	No use of rating scale	Medical records and observation by professional
Morgan 2012 ⁴⁷	PGC-PIS worst pain	Not reported	CMAI aggression subscale	Not reported	-	-
	item		CMAI non-aggressive physical agita- tion subscale			
			HAM-D depression			
Norton 2010 ⁴²	PPQ, intensity item, 10–14 day baseline	Primary CNA and data used from medical records	RMBPC-NH, selection of 3 need driven behaviours, BEHAVE-AD	Primary CNA and unit staff	PSMS	Nurses and trained research assistants
Shega 2005 ⁴⁸	VDS, 1 item on	Interviews with patients and	GDS-15	Interview patient and proxy	KATZ	Interview patient and proxy
	presence and severity of pain 'right now'	caregivers by trained research assistant	CMAI		IADL	
Shega 2010 ⁴⁹	VDS, 5 point, 'pain past 4 weeks'	Interviews with patient by trained research assistant	Mental Health screening questionnaire; 5-item and 6 point scale	Interview with patient by trained research assistant	OARS/IADL; 3 point scale	Interview patient by trained research assistant
Torvik 2010 ⁴⁸	VRS, 4 point, 'pain right now'	Patient self-report	DQoL, 29-items on 5 domains: self- esteem, aesthetics, positive affect, negative affect, belonging	Not reported	Barthel	Self-report and medical records
Tosato 2012 ³	InterRAI LTCF	InterRAI LTCF questions and observation of behaviour, any type of pain or discomfort of the body in previous 3 days by trained (research) staff	InterRAI LTCF 5 behavioural symptoms, previous 3 days	Not reported	MDS ADL Hierarchy Scale	Data recorded by study physicians
Volicer 2009 ³⁷	MDS-RAI pain	Combination of physical	MDS Depression Rating Scale	Combination of physical	-	-
	frequency (item J2a)	examination, patient history, observation, consultation caregiver and medical records by staff	MDS item J1e for delusions MDS item J1i for hallucinations	examination, patient history, observation, consultation caregiver and medical records by staff		
Volicer 2011 ⁵¹	MDS	Combination of physical examination, patient history, observation, consultation	MDS items 11ee, E1a, E1d, E1f, E1b, E1i, E1l, E1m for depression	Combination of physical examination, patient history, observation, consultation caregiver and medical records by staff	-	-

van Dalen-Kok et al. BMC Geriatrics (2015) 15:109

Table 2 Measurements of pain, neuropsychiatric symptoms and physical function (Continued)

		caregiver and medical records by staff	MDS for delusions and hallucinations			
			MDS items B5b, E1b, E4aa, E4da for agitation			
Williams 2005 ⁴³	PGC-PIS, score =2,	Registered nurses or licensed	CSDD, score =7	Rating by care supervisors,	MDS-ADL	Rating by care supervisors,
	and 0–10 pain numeric rating	practical nurses and interview with overseeing supervisor	CMAI, any behaviour at least weekly	registered nurses and licensed practical nurses	APAS	registered nurses and licensed practical nurses
	scale				SMOI	
Zieber 2005 ³⁸	DS-DAT, and a 7- point pain rating scale	Trained facility nurses, palliative care nurse consultants	PAS	Trained facility nurses	-	

Abbreviations: MDS Minimum Dataset, ADL Activities of Daily Living, GMPI Geriatric Multidimensional Pain and Illness Inventory, GDS-15 Geriatric Depression Scale-15 short version, PRADLI Psychosocial Resistance to Activities of Daily Living Index, GLDS Geriatric Level of Dysfunction Scale, PGC-PIS Philadelphia Geriatric Centre Pain Intensity Scale, CSDD Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia, CMAI Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory, SMOI Structured Meal Observational Instrument, HAM-D Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, NPI Neuropsychiatric Inventory, PAI/NAD Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia, STAI State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, AAS Adjusted Activity Scale, PPQ Proxy Pain Questionnaire, CNAA Certified Nursing Assistant, RMBPC-NH Revised Memory and Behaviour Problems Checklist-Nursing Home, BEHAVE-AD Behavioural Pathology in Alzheimer's disease, PSMS Physical Self Maintenance Scale, VDS Verbal Descriptor Scale, KATZ Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living, IADL Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, OARS/IADL Older Americans Recourses and Services/Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, VRS Verbal Rating Scale, DQol Dementia Quality of life, APAS Albert Patient activity Scale, DS-DAT Discomfort Scale - Dementia of Alzheimer Type, PAS Pittsburgh Agitation Scale

Table 5 Correlates of pain and neuropsychiatric symptoms Correlates of pain and specified NPS

First author	Ν	Pain: prevalence	Neuropsychiatric symptoms: prevalence	Correlates of pain with NPS	Quality of study
Ahn 2013 ³⁶	56577	Not reported	Wandering 9 %	AOR 0.77 (95 % Cl: 0.73-0.81) with wandering	10
				Subsample without psychotropic medication	
				AOR 0.72 (95 % Cl: 0.63-0.83) with wandering	
				(Adjusted for cognition, ADL, sociodemographics)	
Kunik 2005 ³⁴	99	Pain mean 2.4 (SD 1.2)	Delusions/hallucinations mean 0.35 (SD 0.48)	r = 0.15 (p > 0.05) with psychosis	8.5
Leong 2007 ³⁵	225	Pain 44 %, chronic pain 34 %	Anxiety 48 %	SOR 1.8 (95 % Cl: 1.0-3.0) with anxiety	8.5
Norton 2010 ⁴²	161	Not reported	BEHAVE-AD mean 64 (SD 29.2)	$r{=}0.15$ (p {=}0.08) for pain intensity and emotional behaviour problems	9
			RMBPC-NH mean 1.45 (SD 0.64)	r = 0.05 (p = 0.58) for pain intensity and resistiveness to care	
Torvik 2010 ⁵²	106	Current pain in total group 55 %,	Negative affect index (DQoL) mean 2.0	p < 0.01 for current pain and negative affect	6.5
		in cognitive impaired group 52 %	(SD 0.75), positive affect/humour index (DQoL) mean 3.4 (SD 0.9)	$p{=}0.11$ for current pain and with positive affect/humour	
Tosato 2012 ³	osato 2012 ³ 2822	Any pain 19 % (moderate/severe/ excruciating pain 13 %)	Behavioural symptoms 37 % Psychiatric symptoms 21 %	AOR = 0.74 (95 % CI: 0.55-1.0) with wandering	11.5
				AOR = 1.4 (95 % CI: 1.08-1.8) with resistance to care	
				AOR 1.5 (95 % Cl: 1.07-2.03) with delusions	
				AOR 1.06 (95 % CI: 0.80-1.41) with verbal abuse	
				AOR 1.08 (95 % CI: 0.75-1.55) with physical abuse	
				(Adjusted for age, gender, country, cognitive impairment, number of diseases, ischemic heart disease, stroke, falls, communication problems, and a flare-up of a chronic or recurrent condition)	
Volicer 2009 ³⁷	929	Daily pain 29 %, less than daily pain 19 %	Verbally abusive not easily altered 2 %, physically abusive not easily altered 12 %	r = 0.07 (p = 0.03) for pain frequency and verbal abuse	11
				AOR = 0.9 (p = 0.53) with resisting care	
				AOR = 0.7 (p = 1.2) with verbal abuse	
				AOR = 0.7 (p = 0.16) with physical abuse	
			Delusions 8 %	(Both multivariate models among others controlled for resisting care)	
			Hallucinations 9 %		
Zieber 2005 ³⁸	58	Not reported	Not reported	r = 0.46 (p < 0.01) for DS-DAT scores and resisting care	8
				$r{=}0.42$ (p ${<}0.01)$ for DS-DAT scores and aberrant vocalization	
				Pain rating by palliative care nurse consultants:	
				r = 0.51 (p < 0.01) with resisting care	
				r = 0.40 (p < 0.01) with aberrant vocalizations	
				Pain rating by facility nurse:	
				r = 0.48 (p < 0.01) with resisting care	
				r = 0.065 (p < 0.63) with aberrant vocalizations	

Table 5 Correlates o	pain and	neuropsychiatric :	symptoms	(Continued)
----------------------	----------	--------------------	----------	-------------

Correlates of pain and unspecified NPS								
First author	Ν	Pain: prevalence	Neuropsychiatric symptoms: prevalence	Correlates of pain with unspecified NPS	Quality of study			
Black 2006 ³⁹	123	Pain 63 %	Psychiatric disorders or behaviour	SOR 1.9 (95 % CI: 0.7-5.3) with psychiatric/behaviour problems	6.5			
			problems 85 %, behaviour problems 67 %	SOR 1.2 (95 % CI: 0.5-2.5) with behaviour problems				
Brummel-Smith	104 (excluding	Moderate-severe pain 60 %	≥1 disruptive behaviours (wandering,	SOR 1.8 (95 % CI: 0.8-4.0) with ≥ 1 disruptive behaviour	7			
2002 ⁴⁰ those unable to self-report pain)	those unable to self-report pain)	No-mild pain 40 %	verbal disruption, physical aggression, regressive behaviour, hallucinations)					
	50 subject unable to answer	70 % in dementia sample $n = 154$						
Cipher 2004 ⁴	234	Persistent pain 72 %	Dysfunctional behaviours mean 4.4 (SD 0.76)	$r{=}0.22$ (p ${<}0.05$) with dysfunctional behaviours	7.5			
Cipher 2006 ⁴¹	277	Acute pain 29 %	-	r = 0.18 (p < 0.05) with GLDS mean behavioural intensity	7.5			
		Chronic pain 59 %						
Norton 2010 ⁴²	161	Not reported	BEHAVE-AD mean 61.4 (SD 29.2)	$r{=}0.18$ (p ${=}0.03)$ for pain intensity and disruptive behaviour problems	9			
			RMBPC-NH mean 1.45 (SD 0.64)	$r{=}0.05$ (p {=}0.53) for pain intensity and global need driven behaviours				
Tosato 2012 ³	2822	Any pain 19 % (moderate/severe/	Behavioural symptoms 37 %	AOR = 1.4 (95 % CI: 1.04-1.8) with socially inappropriate behaviour	11.5			
		excruciating pain 13 %)	Psychiatric symptoms 21 %	(Adjusted for age, gender, country, cognitive impairment, number of diseases, ischemic heart disease, stroke, falls, communication problems, and a flare-up of a chronic or recurrent condition)	r			
Williams 2005 ³⁹	331	331 Pain 21 %, in nh 23 %, in rc/al 20 % (self-report for subgroup mmse > 10 was higher: 39 % and 25 %)	Behavioural symptoms 58 %	OR = 1.1 (95 % CI: 0.49-2.29) and AOR = 1.2 (95 % CI: 0.57-2.36) with behavioural symptoms	10			
				(Adjusted for: sex, race, age, cognitive status, number of 10 comorbidities, impairments of 7 activities of daily living)				

Abbreviations: AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio, ADL Activities of Daily Living, SD Standard Deviation, r correlation coefficient, SOR Self-Calculated Odds Ratio, BEHAVE-AD Behavioural Pathology in Alzheimer's disease, RMBPC-NH Revised Memory and Behaviour Problems Checklist-Nursing Home, DQoL Dementia Quality of life, DS-DAT Discomfort Scale - Dementia of Alzheimer Type, GLDS Geriatric Level of Dysfunction Scale, rc/al residential care/assisted living, MMSE Mini Mental State Examination, OR Odds Ratio

Table 6 Correlates of pain with physical function

					0 11 0
First author	Ν	Pain: prevalence	Physical function: prevalence	Correlates of pain with ADL or IADL	Quality of study
Brummel-Smith	104 (excluding	Moderate-severe pain 60 %, no-mild	≥1 ADL limitations	SOR 1.9 (95 % Cl: 0.6-6.0) with ≥ 1 ADL limitation	7
2002 ³⁰ those un self-repoi	those unable to self-report pain)	pain 40 % (50 subject unable to answer)	92 % in dementia sample (n = 154)		
Cipher 2004 ⁴ 234	234	Persistent pain 72 %	ADL independency mean 0.09	Correlations with GMPI 'pain and suffering'	7.5
			(SD 0.99)	$r=-0.04~(\alpha>0.05)$ with ADL independency	
Shega 200544	115	Any current pain self-report 32 %, caregiver report 53 %	KATZ mean 8.5 (SD 2.7), IADL mean 15.3 (SD 3.9)	For self-report pain	9.5
				No association ADL and IADL ($p > 0.05$)	
				For caregiver pain report	
				No association with ADL or IADL ($p > 0.05$)	
Shega 2010 ⁴⁵	5549	Moderate or greater pain: 35.8 %	Any IADL impairment: 66.5 %	OR = 1.74 (95 % Cl: 1.15-2.62) with any iADL impairment	9
				(Adjusted for demographics)	
Torvik 2010 ⁴⁸	106	Current pain in total group 55 %, in cognitive impaired group 52 %	Highly or moderate ADL dependent 36 %	p = 0.20 for current pain and ADL	6.5
				SOR = 0.5 (95 % Cl: 0.2-1.2) for current pain and ADL high/medium v.s. low	
Tosato 2012 ³	2822	Any pain 19 % (moderate/severe/ excruciating pain 13 %)	No disability 8 %, assistance required 43 %, dependent 49 %	SOR 1.0 (95 % CI: 0.9-1.2) with ADL-dependent	11.5
				SOR 0.9 (95 % Cl: 0.75-1.09) with ADL assistance required	
				(Adjusted for age, gender, country, cognitive impairment, number of diseases, ischemic heart disease, stroke, falls, communication problems, and a flare-up of a chronic or recurrent condition)	

Table 6 Correlates of pain with physical function (Continued)

Correlates of pai	Correlates of pain and other functional impairments							
First author	Ν	Pain: prevalence	Physical function: prevalence	Correlates of pain with ADL or IADL	Quality of study			
Black 2006 ³⁹	123	Pain 63 %	Nutrition/hydration problems total sample 85 %	SOR 1.9 (95 % Cl: 0.7-5.3) with nutrition/hydration problems	6.5			
Brummel-Smith 2002 ⁴⁰	104 (excluding	Moderate-severe pain 60 %, no-mild	≥1 ADL limitations	SOR 1.6 (95 % CI: 0.6-4.2) with bladder incontinence	7			
	those unable to self-report pain)	pain 40 % (50 subject unable to answer)	92 % in dementia sample (n = 154)					
D'Astolfo 2006 ⁴⁴	140	Pain 64 % (musculoskeletal pain	Use of wheel chair 60 %	SOR 1.5 (95 % Cl: 0.7-3.0) with use of wheel chair or bedridden	7			
		40 %)	Requires assistance 34 %	SOR 1.0 (95 % CI: 0.5-2.0) with requires assistance				
				(Analyses in sample of no dementia-severe dementia)				
Lin 2011 ⁴⁶	112	Observed pain 37 % (PAINAD > =2)	Being restrained 46 %; observed care activities: bathing 43 %, assisted transfer 31 %, self-transfer 26 %	OR = 5.4 (95 % CI: 2.3-12.5) and AOR = 3.0 (95 % CI: 1.0-8.7) with being restrained	12			
				OR = 23.4 (95 % Cl: 3.0-188) and AOR = 19.2 (95 % Cl: 2.3-162) with bathing				
				OR = 29.7 (95 % Cl: 3.6-242) and AOR = 11.3 (95 % Cl: 1.2-102) with assisted transfer, both compared to self-transfer				
				(Adjusted for gender, age, wound, restraint, tube present in body, recent fall, severity of dementia and type of activity)				
Williams 2005 ⁴³	331	Pain 21 %, in nh 23 %, in rc/al 20 % (self-report for subgroup MMSE > 10	Low activity 47 %, immobile 12 %	OR = 0.65 (95 % Cl: 0.38-1.11) and AOR = 0.64 (95 % Cl: 0.37-1.10) with low activity	10			
		was higher: 39 % and 25 %)	Low food intake 53 %	OR = 1.1 (95 % CI: 0.49-2.29) and AOR = 0.8 (95 % CI: 0.37-1.69) with immobility				
			Low fluid intake 51 %	OR = 1.18 (95 % Cl: 0.64-2.17) and AOR = 1.03 (95 % Cl: 0.56-1.87) with low food intake				
				OR = 1.20 (95 % Cl: 0.67-2.15) and AOR 1.14 (95 % Cl: 0.66-1.99) with low fluid intake				
				(Adjusted for: sex, race, age, cognitive status, number of 10 comorbidities, impairments of 7 activities of daily living)				

Abbreviations: SOR Self-Calculated Odds Ratio, ADL Activities of Daily Living, SD Standard Deviation, r correlation coefficient, GMPI Geriatric Multidimensional Pain and Illness Inventory, PAINAD Pain Assessment in Advanced Dementia, OR Odds Ratio, AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio, KATZ Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living, IADL Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, nh nursing home, rc/al residential care/assisted living, MMSE Mini Mental State Examination

Author details

¹Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Centre, Hippocratespad 21 Post zone V0-P, PO Box 9600, Leiden, RC 2300, The Netherlands. ²Department of General Practice & Elderly Care Medicine, VU University Medical Centre Amsterdam, van der Boechorststraat 7, Amsterdam, BT 1081, The Netherlands. ³Malteser Hospital Bonn/Rhein-Sieg, Centre of Geriatric Medicine, Academic Hospital University of Bonn, Von-Hompesch-Straße 1, Bonn 53123, Germany. ⁴Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Centre for Elderly and Nursing Home Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ⁵Stavanger University Hospital, Bergen, Norway.

Received: 8 July 2015 Accepted: 8 July 2015 Published online: 09 September 2015

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

BioMed Central

(

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit